

distribution of the 2000–2003 cable royalties on May 12, 2010. 75 FR 26798. Thus, this Notice announcing the commencement of a proceeding under 17 U.S.C. 803(b)(1) for distribution of cable royalties collected for 2000, 2001, 2002, and 2003 is confined to Phase II.

### Commencement of Phase II Proceeding

Consistent with 17 U.S.C. 804(b)(8), the Copyright Royalty Judges determine that a Phase II controversy exists as to the distribution of the 2000, 2001, 2002, and 2003 cable royalties. We reach this determination based on a *Motion to Initiate Phase II Proceedings* by the Joint Sports, Program Suppliers, and Devotional Claimants, three Phase I claimant groups, filed with the Judges on January 14, 2011. The Motion states that “[n]o pending controversies exist with respect to Phase I. However, controversies continue to exist with regard to Phase II in the devotional, sports, and syndicated programming categories. For example, one party, Independent Producers Group (‘IPG’), claims that it represents copyright owners with [unresolved] Phase II claims in each of these categories.” *Motion* at 1. The Motion further states that “[t]he Phase I Parties are also aware of other ongoing Phase II controversies, including ongoing Phase II controversies in the syndicated programming category between the MPAA-represented Program Suppliers and the NAB-represented Program Suppliers as to the 2000, 2001, 2002, and 2003 royalty years, and between the MPAA-represented Program Suppliers and Home Shopping Network (‘HSN’) for the 2002 and 2003 royalty years.” *Motion* at 2. The Motion requests that the Judges commence a Phase II proceeding to resolve all outstanding Phase II controversies.<sup>1</sup> In light of the outstanding Phase II controversies with respect to cable royalties for 2000, 2001, 2002, and 2003, the Motion is *granted*.

### Petitions To Participate

Petitions to Participate must be filed in accordance with 37 CFR 351.1(b)(2). Petitions to Participate submitted by interested parties whose claims do not exceed \$1,000 must contain a statement that the party will not seek a distribution of more than \$1,000.<sup>2</sup> No filing fee is required for these parties. A party whose claim(s) exceed \$1,000 must submit a filing fee of \$150 with its

<sup>1</sup> IPG filed an untimely response to the Motion on January 27, 2011. See 37 CFR 350.4(f) (oppositions to motions shall be filed within five business days of the filing of the motion).

<sup>2</sup> The Copyright Royalty Judges Program Technical Corrections Act, Public Law 109–303, changed the amount from \$10,000 to \$1,000.

Petition to Participate or the Petition will be rejected. The filing fee must be paid by check or money order payable to the “Copyright Royalty Board.” If a check is returned for insufficient funds, the corresponding Petition to Participate will be dismissed. In accordance with 37 CFR 350.2 (Representation), all parties, other than individuals, must be represented by an attorney.

Further procedural matters, including scheduling, will be addressed after Petitions to Participate have been received.

Dated: February 3, 2011.

**James Scott Sledge,**

*Chief Copyright Royalty Judge.*

[FR Doc. 2011–2940 Filed 2–9–11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 1410–72–P**

---

## NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** National Archives and Records Administration (NARA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** NARA is giving public notice that the agency has submitted to OMB for approval the information collections described in this notice. The public is invited to comment on the proposed information collections pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to OMB at the address below on or before March 14, 2011 to be assured of consideration.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments to Mr. Nicholas A. Fraser, Desk Officer for NARA, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503; fax: 202–395–5167; or electronically mailed to [Nicholas\\_A\\_Fraser@omb.eop.gov](mailto:Nicholas_A_Fraser@omb.eop.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information or copies of the proposed information collections and supporting statements should be directed to Tamee Fechhelm at telephone number 301–713–1694 or fax number 301–713–7409.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13), NARA invites the general public and other Federal agencies to comment on proposed information collections. NARA published a notice of proposed collection for these information collections on November 24, 2010 (75 FR 71743 and 71744). No comments

were received. NARA has submitted the described information collections to OMB for approval. In response to this notice, comments and suggestions should address one or more of the following points: (a) Whether the proposed information collections are necessary for the proper performance of the functions of NARA; (b) the accuracy of NARA’s estimate of the burden of the proposed information collections; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of information technology; and (e) whether small businesses are affected by these collections. In this notice, NARA is soliciting comments concerning the following information collections:

*Title:* Standard A-File.

*OMB number:* 3095–00XX.

*Agency form numbers:* NATF Form AF1E1.

*Type of review:* Regular.

*Affected public:* Individuals or households.

*Estimated number of respondents:* 480.

*Estimated time per response:* 10 minutes.

*Frequency of response:* On occasion.

*Estimated total annual burden hours:* 80.

*Abstract:* Submission of requests on a form is necessary to handle in a timely fashion the volume of requests received for these records and the need to obtain specific information from the researcher to search for the records sought. The records, called Alien Files, or A-Files, contain all records of any active case of an alien not yet naturalized as they passed through the United States immigration and inspection process. You can also use Order Online! ([http://www.archives.gov/research\\_room/obtain\\_copies/military\\_and\\_genealogy\\_order\\_forms.html](http://www.archives.gov/research_room/obtain_copies/military_and_genealogy_order_forms.html)) to complete the forms and order the copies.

Dated: February 2, 2011.

**Charles K. Piercy,**

*Acting Assistant Archivist for Information Services.*

[FR Doc. 2011–3045 Filed 2–9–11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7515–01–P**

---

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection, Comment Request

**AGENCY:** National Science Foundation.

**ACTION:** Notice.

---

**SUMMARY:** The National Science Foundation (NSF) is announcing plans to request reinstatement and clearance for this collection. In accordance with the requirement of Section 3506 (c)(2) (A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, we are providing opportunity for public comment on this action. After obtaining and considering public comment, NSF will prepare the submission requesting OMB clearance of this collection for no longer than three years.

Comments are invited on (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information of respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Written comments should be received by April 11, 2011, to be assured of consideration. Comments received after that date will be considered to the extent practicable.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments regarding the information collection and requests for copies of the proposed information collection request should be addressed to Suzanne Plimpton, Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Room 295, Arlington, VA 22230, or by e-mail to [splimpton@nsf.gov](mailto:splimpton@nsf.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Suzanne Plimpton on (703) 292-7556 or send e-mail to [splimpton@nsf.gov](mailto:splimpton@nsf.gov). Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** *Title of Collection:* Informal Science Education (ISE) Program.

### Evaluation for the National Science Foundation

*OMB Number:* 3145-0158.

*Expiration Date of Approval:* Not applicable.

*Type of request:* Reinstatement.

*Abstract:*

Informal Science Education (ISE) is an NSF program that supports innovation in anywhere, anytime, lifelong learning,

through investments in research, development, infrastructure, and capacity-building for science, technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM) learning outside formal school settings. Informal science experiences can serve to spark young people's interest in pursuing careers in STEM fields as well as to improve public engagement with STEM, contributing to science learning for most citizens. For over 40 years, NSF ISE programming has supported efforts to engage the public in science and science learning. The program is charged with advancing public understanding of STEM content and processes and promoting engagement with informal STEM education experiences. Since the last major evaluation of NSF ISE (COSMOS Corporation, 1998), ISE has taken strategic steps to support the growing maturation of the informal science field, producing seminal documents such as Framework for Evaluating Impacts of Informal Science Education Projects (Friedman, 2008) and Learning Science in Informal Environments (NRC, 2009), and supporting field-wide resources such as the InformalScience.org Web site and the Center for the Advancement of Informal Science Education (CAISE). The program's grant solicitations have reflected a growing professionalization for the informal science community with new expectations for rigorous research on implementation and outcomes.

The ISE program evaluation will characterize changes in the informal science arena since 1999 and delineate the role in those changes of the NSF ISE program between 1999 and the present. The evaluation will do so by analyzing NSF ISE-funded projects over that time frame, attending in particular to the impact on informal science infrastructure, the rigor of individual project evaluations, the learning outcomes for diverse audiences, and the features of exemplary projects. The ISE program evaluation will employ a mixed-method approach including extensive document review of solicitations, proposals, reports, and published literature; qualitative and quantitative analyses of surveys and interviews with researchers and practitioners in the field; and case studies of influential projects, initiatives, and ideas. This information collection request will include a survey instrument for principal investigators of past and current ISE projects, one survey instrument for project evaluators, and protocols for follow-up interviews

with a sample of principal investigators and evaluators.

*Estimate of Burden:*

*Respondents:* Individuals.

*Frequency:* One time.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 1010.

*Estimated Burden Hours on Respondents:* 550.

Dated: February 7, 2011.

**Suzanne H. Plimpton,**

*Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation.*

[FR Doc. 2011-2976 Filed 2-9-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7555-01-P**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-271; License No. DPR-28; NRC-2010-0191]

### Entergy Nuclear Vermont Yankee, LLC and Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.; Notice of Issuance of Director's Decision

Notice is hereby given that the Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, has issued a Director's Decision with regard to a petition dated April 19, 2010, filed by Congressman Paul W. Hodes, U.S. House of Representatives, hereinafter referred to as the "Petitioner." The petition was supplemented by letters dated May 14 and June 16, 2010. The petition concerns the operation of the Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station (Vermont Yankee).

The petition requested that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) not allow Vermont Yankee, operated by Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. (Entergy or the licensee), to restart in May 2010, after its scheduled refueling outage, until the completion of all environmental remediation work and relevant reports on leaking tritium at the plant. Specifically, the petition asked the NRC to prevent Vermont Yankee from resuming power production until the following efforts have been completed to the Commission's satisfaction: (1) The tritiated groundwater remediation process; (2) the soil remediation process scheduled to take place during the refueling outage, to remove soil containing tritium and radioactive isotopes of cesium, manganese, zinc, and cobalt; (3) Entergy's root cause analysis; and (4) the Commission's review of the documents presented by Entergy as a result of the Commission's demand for information imposed on the licensee on March 1, 2010.