DATES: The closing date for receipt of applications is May 2, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jeannette Flowers, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Room N4716, Washington, DC 20210; *telephone:* 202–693–3322.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 11th day of March 2011.

B. Jai Johnson,

Grant Officer, Employment and Training Administration.

[FR Doc. 2011–6245 Filed 3–16–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Notice of Funding Opportunity and Solicitation for Grant Applications (SGA) for National Farmworker Jobs Training Program (NFJP) Housing Assistance

AGENCY: Employment and Training Administration, Labor.

ACTION: Notice of Solicitation for Grant Applications (SGA).

Funding Opportunity Number: SGA–DFA–PY–10–08.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Labor (the Department or DOL), Employment and Training Administration (ETA), Office of Workforce Investment (OWI), Division of Adult Services (DAS), announces a grant competition for operating the Housing Assistance portion of the National Farmworker Jobs Program (NFJP), under section 167 of the Workforce Investment Act of 1998 (WIA), 29 U.S.C. 2912. Section 167(a) of WIA requires the Secretary to conduct a grants competition every two years for the purpose of carrying out the activities authorized under section 167. Although housing assistance is identified in WIA as one of the allowable activities under NFJP, Congressional appropriations language directs the Department to make available a specific amount of the funds appropriated for the NFJP for migrant and seasonal farmworkers housing assistance grants, and requires that no less than 70 percent of the specified amount must be used for permanent housing activities.

We are conducting this competition before the passage of the Department of Labor's Fiscal Year (FY) 2011 appropriation in anticipation of the appropriation of funds for Program Year (PY) 2011 NFJP housing assistance grants, but we will not obligate any funds for PY 2011 grants unless and until they are appropriated. The FY 2011 appropriation request for this program is $5,700,000.

The complete SGA and any subsequent SGA amendments are described in further detail on ETA's Web site at *http://www.doleta.gov/grants* or on *http://www.grants.gov.* The Web sites provide application information, eligibility requirements, review and selection procedures and other program requirements governing this solicitation.

DATES: The closing date for receipt of applications is May 3, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Eileen Banks, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Room N4716, Washington, DC 20210; *telephone:* 202–693–3403.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 11th day of March 2011.

B. Jai Johnson,

Grant Officer, Employment and Training Administration.

[FR Doc. 2011–6244 Filed 3–16–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–72,510]

Jeld-Wen Millwork Distribution, Wilkesboro, NC; Notice of Negative Determination on Reconsideration

On October 7, 2010, the Department of Labor issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration for workers and former workers of Jeld-Wen Millwork Distribution, Wilkesboro, North Carolina (subject firm). The Department's Notice was published in the **Federal Register** on October 25, 2010 (75 FR 65513).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c), reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The petition, filed by a company official, stated that the workers distribute "wood exterior door frames" and that "door frames are being imported from China and South America at a price we can't compete with at this location."

The initial negative determination was based on the findings that there was no increase in imports of like or directly competitive articles by either the subject firm or its customers, and no shift to/acquisition from a foreign country by the workers' firm in production of like or directly competitive articles. The investigation also revealed that the subject firm did not produce a component part that was used by a firm that employed workers eligible to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) and used the component parts in the production of the article that was the basis for the TAA certification.

The workers, in the request for reconsideration, state that the subject firm's competitors and customer have increased imports of like or directly competitive articles from China. The workers also allege that the articles produced at the subject firm include door component parts ("door jambs, door T–AST, door mull posts") and window component parts ("replacement window grills").

Information obtained during the reconsideration investigation confirmed that the only articles produced by the subject firm during the relevant period are wood exterior door frames; that, during the relevant period, the subject firm did not increase reliance on imports of wood exterior door frames; and that the subject firm supplies articles exclusively to internal customers.

Moreover, information obtained during the reconsideration investigation confirmed that that the subject firm did not perform a service (such as distribution) that was used by a firm that both employed a worker group eligible to apply for TAA and directly used the services supplied in the production of an article or supply of a service that was the basis for the TAA certification.

Aggregate data reviewed during the reconsideration investigation revealed that U.S. imports of articles like or directly competitive with wood exterior door frames did not increase during the relevant period.

Conclusion

After reconsideration, I affirm the original notice of negative determination of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance for workers and former workers of Jeld-Wen Millwork Distribution, Wilkesboro, North Carolina.

Signed in Washington, DC, on this 4th day of March 2011.

Del Min Amy Chen,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2011–6185 Filed 3–16–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–72,355]

Flanders Tool Company, Inc., Flanders, NJ; Notice of Negative Determination on Reconsideration

On January 4, 2010, the Department of Labor issued a Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance for the workers and former workers of Flanders Tool Company, Flanders, New Jersey (the subject firm). The Department's Notice was published in the **Federal Register** on February 16, 2010 (75 FR 7039).

By application dated February 12, 2010, the petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. At the request of the petitioners, the Department conducted further investigation to determine if the workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended. Workers are engaged in employment related to the production of precision cutting tools and drills.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c), reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The initial negative determination was based on the findings that there was no increase in imports by the workers' firm or customers of articles like or directly competitive with precision cutting tools and drills, or a shift to/acquisition from a foreign country by the workers' firm in the production of articles like or directly competitive with precision cutting tools and drills, and that the workers' firm did not produce and supply directly component parts (or services) to a firm that both employed a worker group eligible to apply for TAA and directly used the component parts (or services) in the production of the article or in the supply of the service that was the basis for the TAA certification.

The request for reconsideration stated that the subject firm supplies products to certified customers.

Information obtained during the reconsideration investigation confirmed that the subject firm did not produce and supply directly component parts to a firm that both employed a worker group eligible to apply for TAA and directly used the component parts in the production of the article or in the supply of the service that was the basis for the TAA certification.

While tools and capital equipment are used in the production of an article, they are not component parts.

Information obtained during the reconsideration investigation confirmed that, during the relevant period, the major declining customers of the subject firm did not directly or indirectly import articles like or directly competitive with the precision cutting tools and drills produced by the subject firm.

Conclusion

After reconsideration, I affirm the original notice of negative determination of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance for workers and former workers of Flanders Tool Company, Flanders, New Jersey.

Signed in Washington, DC, on this 4th day of March 2011.

Del Min Amy Chen,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2011–6193 Filed 3–16–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–72,493]

Ananke, Inc., Providence, RI; Notice of Negative Determination on Reconsideration

On December 1, 2010, the Department of Labor issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration for the workers and former workers of Ananke, Inc., Rhode Island (subject firm). The Department's Notice was published in the **Federal Register** on December 13, 2010 (75 FR 77664). The workers at the subject firm supplied on-site application packaging services to a financial services firm located in Boston, Massachusetts. Therefore, the worker group includes workers who report to the subject firm but are located in Massachusetts; however, the worker group does not include any on-site leased or temporary workers.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c), reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The initial negative determination was based on the findings that neither the subject firm nor a declining customer imported services like or directly competitive with the application packaging services supplied by the subject workers; that the subject firm did not shift to/acquire from a foreign country the supply of services like or directly competitive with the application packaging services supplied by the subject workers; and that workers of the subject firm are not adversely affected secondary workers.

The request for reconsideration states that "Ananke Inc. performed application packaging services for John Hancock * * * In September 2009, John Hancock replaced * * * Ananke Inc. with * * * Cognizant Technology Solutions (an offshoring/outsourcing company)" and included support documentation.

Information obtained during the reconsideration investigation confirmed that, during the relevant period, neither the subject firm nor a client firm shifted to/acquired from a foreign country the supply of services like or directly competitive with the application packaging services supplied by the workers. Rather, the shift in the supply of services that is alleged by the petitioner is related to services that are neither like nor directly competitive with those supplied by the subject workers.

Conclusion

After reconsideration, I affirm the original notice of negative determination of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance for workers and former workers of Ananke, Inc., Rhode Island.