

Members of the public are entitled to submit written comments; the comments must be received in the regional office by April 31, 2011. The address is Rocky Mountain Regional Office, 999—18th Street, Suite 1380S, Denver, CO 80202. Comments may be e-mailed to ebohor@usccr.gov. Records generated by this meeting may be inspected and reproduced at the Rocky Mountain Regional Office, as they become available, both before and after the meeting. Persons interested in the work of this advisory committee are advised to go to the Commission's Web site, <http://www.usccr.gov>, or to contact the Rocky Mountain Regional Office at the above e-mail or street address.

Deaf or hearing-impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a sign language interpreter should contact the Regional Office at least ten (10) working days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission and FACA.

Dated in Washington, DC, March 17, 2011.

Peter Minarik,

*Acting Chief, Regional Programs
Coordination Unit.*

[FR Doc. 2011-6818 Filed 3-22-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6335-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 22-2011]

Foreign-Trade Zone 177—Evansville, IN; Application for Manufacturing Authority, Best Chair, Inc. d/b/a Best Home Furnishings, (Upholstered Furniture), Ferdinand, Cannelton, and Paoli, IN

A request has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) by the Ports of Indiana, grantee of FTZ 177, requesting manufacturing authority on behalf of Best Chair, Inc. d/b/a Best Home Furnishings (Best Home), to manufacture upholstered furniture and related parts under FTZ procedures within FTZ 177. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on March 17, 2011.

The Best Home facilities (815 employees) will be located within the FTZ 177 service area at three planned sites: Site 5—at 1 Best Drive in Ferdinand (Dubois County), Indiana;

Site 6—at 4502 East State Road 66, Cannelton (Perry County), Indiana; and, Site 7—at 1700 West Willow Creek Road, Paoli (Orange County), Indiana (Orange County to be included within expanded ASF service area, as proposed — Doc. 3-2011, 76 FR 1133, 1-7-2011). The facilities are used to produce upholstered furniture (up to 1.5 million sofas, sectionals, loveseats, chairs, and recliners annually) and cut-and-sewn upholstery covers for the U.S. market and export. The application proposes that Best Home utilize foreign-origin “micro-denier suede” fabric to be cut and sewn into furniture upholstery covers under FTZ procedures. The finished upholstery covers (HTSUS 9401.90; duty free) would then be assembled into finished sofas, sectionals, loveseats, chairs, and recliners manufactured by Best Home at its Indiana facilities.

The proposed scope of authority under FTZ procedures would only involve duty savings on foreign-origin, micro-denier suede fabrics (classified under HTSUS Headings 5407, 5512, 5515, 5516, 5903, 5906, 6001, 6005, 6006; duty rate range: 2.7–17.2%) finished with a caustic soda wash process, which the applicant indicates are not produced by U.S. mills. The application indicates that Best Home does not seek FTZ benefits on any of the other foreign fabrics the company uses in production at the facilities (*i.e.*, full duties would be paid on all such fabrics). All other material inputs used in the proposed manufacturing activity would be domestic status.

FTZ procedures would exempt Best Home from customs duty payments on the foreign micro-denier suede fabric used in export production. On micro-denier suede fabric used in production for the U.S. market, the company could elect the finished upholstery cover (*i.e.*, furniture part) duty rate (free) after the fabric has been cut, sewn, and formed into upholstery covers, at which time they are entered for consumption from the zone. Best Home would also have the option to elect the finished furniture duty rate (free) for the subject fabric when the finished furniture is entered for domestic consumption. The application indicates that the savings from FTZ procedures would help improve the facilities' international competitiveness.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, Pierre V. Duy of the FTZ Staff is designated examiner to evaluate and analyze the facts and information presented in the application and case record and to report findings and recommendations to the Board.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for receipt of comments is May 23, 2011. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to June 6, 2011.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 2111, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230-0002, and in the “Reading Room” section of the Board's Web site, which is accessible via <http://www.trade.gov/ftz>.

For further information, contact Pierre V. Duy at Pierre.Duy@trade.gov or (202) 482-1378.

Dated: March 17, 2011.

Pierre V. Duy,

Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011-6882 Filed 3-22-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-909]

Certain Steel Nails From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the First Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On September 15, 2010, the Department of Commerce (“Department”) published the *Preliminary Results* of the first administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain steel nails (“steel nails”) from the People's Republic of China (“PRC”).¹ We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. Based upon our analysis of the comments and information received, we made changes to the margin calculations for the final results of this review. The final weighted-average margins are listed below in the “Final Results of the Review” section of this notice. The

¹ See *Certain Steel Nails From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Preliminary Results and Preliminary Rescission, in Part, of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 56070 (September 15, 2010) (“*Preliminary Results*”).

period of review ("POR") is January 23, 2008, through July 31, 2009.

DATES: *Effective Date:* March 23, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Emeka Chukwudebe or Matthew Renkey, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; *telephone:* (202) 482-0219 or (202) 482-2312, respectively.

Case History

On September 15, 2010, the Department published the *Preliminary Results* of this administrative review. On October 7, 2010, we sent a supplemental questionnaire to separate-rate respondent Yitian Nanjing Hardware Co., Ltd. ("Yitian Nanjing"). On October 12, 2010, one of the individually-reviewed respondents who had received a calculated rate at the *Preliminary Results*, Shandong Minmetal Co., Ltd. ("Shandong Minmetal"), informed the Department that it would not participate in verification and withdrew from the administrative review. On October 20, 2010, we confirmed with counsel for Yitian Nanjing that a response to the Department's supplemental questionnaire was not filed by the stipulated deadline. On October 21, 2010, following the decision in *Dorbest*,² the Department placed wage rate data on the record for comment.³ The Department conducted verification of: (1) Tianjin Jinchi Metal Products Co., Ltd. ("Tianjin Jinchi") on November 12, 2010; (2) Stanley's⁴ PRC offices and factory from November 15, 2010, through November 17, 2010; (3) a wire-drawing subcontractor for Stanley from November 18, 2010, through November 19, 2010; and (4) Stanley's U.S. office from December 14, 2010, through December 16, 2010. We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. Between November 3, 2010, and January 25, 2011, we received case and rebuttal briefs from

² See *Dorbest Ltd. v. United States*, 604 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2010) ("*Dorbest*").

³ See Memorandum to the file through James C. Doyle, Office 9 Director, and Alex Villanueva, Office 9 Program Manager, from Emeka Chukwudebe, Office 9 Case Analyst, dated October 21, 2010, First Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Steel Nails from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"): Industry-Specific Wage Rate Selection ("Wage Rate Memo").

⁴ The Stanley Works (Langfang) Fastening Systems Co., Ltd. ("Stanley Langfang"), the Stanley Works/Stanley Fastening Systems LP ("Stanley Works"), and an unaffiliated wire drawing subcontractor are collectively referred to as "Stanley" in this administrative review.

Petitioner,⁵ one individually-reviewed respondent, Stanley, and other interested parties⁶ in this administrative review.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties are addressed in the "Certain Steel Nails from the People's Republic of China: Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the First Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated concurrently with this notice ("I&D Memo"), which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties raised, and to which we responded in the I&D Memo, is attached to this notice as an Appendix. The I&D Memo is a public document and is on file in the Central Records Unit ("CRU"), Main Commerce Building, Room 7046, and is accessible on the Department's Web site at <http://www.trade.gov/ia>. The paper copy and electronic version of the memorandum are identical in content.

Final Partial Rescission of Administrative Review

In the *Preliminary Results*, the Department announced its intent to rescind the review with respect to certain companies⁷ that certified they

⁵ Mid Continent Nail Corporation.

⁶ The following companies filed combined case briefs: Itochu Building Products Co., Inc.; Chiieh Yung Metal Ind. Corp. ("Chiieh Yung"); Certified Products International Inc. ("CPI"); Huanghua Jinhai Hardware Products Co., Ltd.; Tianjin Jinghai County Hongli Industry & Business Co., Ltd.; Tianjin Jinchi; Shandong Dinglong Import & Export Co., Ltd.; Tianjin Zhonglian Metals Ware Co., Ltd.; Beijing Daruixing Nail Products Co., Ltd.; Hengshui Mingyao Hardware & Mesh Products Huanghua Xionghua Hardware Products Co., Ltd.; Wintime Import & Export Corporation Limited of Zhongshan; Shanghai Jade Shuttle Hardware Tools Co., Ltd.; Romp (Tianjin) Hardware Co., Ltd.; China Staple Enterprise (Tianjin) Co., Ltd.; Tianjin Jurun Metal Products Co., Ltd. ("Tianjin Jurun"); Wuhu Shijie Hardware Co., Ltd. ("Wuhu Shijie"); Yitian Nanjing; Shanghai Chengkai Hardware Products Co., Ltd.; Tianjin Port Free Trade Zone Xiangtong International Industry & Trade Corp.; Tianjin Longxing (Group) Huanyu IMP. & EXP. Co., Ltd.; Dagang Zhitong Metal Products Co., Ltd.; Tianjin Shenyuan Steel Producing Group Co., Ltd.; Hebei Super Star Pneumatic Nails Co., Ltd.; Shaoying Chengye Metal Producing Group Co., Ltd.; Tianjin Chentai International Trading Co., Ltd.; Qidong Liang Chyuan Metal Industry Co., Ltd.; CYM (Nanjing) Ningquan Nail Manufacture Co., Ltd., a.k.a. CYM (Nanjing) Nail Manufacture Co., Ltd.; and Shanghai Yueda Nails Industry Co., Ltd. a.k.a. Shanghai Yueda Nails Co., Ltd. (collectively, "Itochu et al."); Shanghai Tengyu Hardware Tools Co., Ltd. and Shanghai Curvet Hardware Products Co. Ltd. (collectively, "Tengyu and Curvet"); Nanjing Yuechang Hardware Co., Ltd. ("Nanjing Yuechang"); and Shandong Oriental Cherry Hardware Group Co., Ltd., Shandong Oriental Cherry Hardware Import and Export Co., Ltd., and Jinling Huarong Hardware Products Co., Ltd. (collectively, "Oriental Cherry Group").

⁷ Those companies are: Besco Machinery Industry (Zhejiang) Co., Ltd.; CPI; CYM (Nanjing) Nail

made no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR.⁸ For the final results, we continue to find that these companies did not make shipments during the POR. Thus, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), and consistent with our practice,⁹ we are rescinding this review with respect to these companies.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record, verification, as well as comments received from parties regarding our *Preliminary Results*, we have made certain changes to the margin calculations. Specifically, we have updated the calculation for labor and several surrogate values used in the *Preliminary Results*.¹⁰ We also revised our labor wage rate methodology. See the "Wage Rate Methodology" section below. For all changes to the calculations, see the I&D Memo and company-specific analysis memoranda. For changes to the surrogate values, see "Memorandum to the File, through Alex Villanueva, Program Manager, AC/CVD Operations, Office 9, from Emeka Chukwudebe, case analyst, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, First Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Certain Steel Nails from the People's Republic of China: Surrogate Values for the Final Results," dated concurrently with this notice.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order includes certain steel nails having a shaft length up to 12 inches. Certain steel nails include, but are not limited to, nails made of round wire and nails

Manufacture Co., Ltd.; Dagang Zhitong Metal Products Co., Ltd.; Hebei Super Star Pneumatic Nails Co., Ltd.; Hong Kong Yu Xi Co., Ltd.; Sencoxingya Metal Products (Taicang) Co., Ltd.; Shanghai Chengkai Hardware Product Co., Ltd.; Shanghai March Import & Export Company Ltd.; Shaoying Chengye Metal Producing Co., Ltd.; Suzhou Yaotian Metal Products Co., Ltd.; Tianjin Chentai International Trading Co., Ltd.; Tianjin Jurun; Tianjin Longxing (Group) Huanyu Imp. & Exp. Co., Ltd.; Tianjin Port Free Trade Zone Xiangtong Intl. Industry & Trade Corp.; Tianjin Shenyuan Steel Producing Group Co., Ltd.; Wuhu Shijie; and Wuxi Chengye Metal Products Co., Ltd.

⁸ See *Preliminary Results*, 75 FR at 56071-56072; see also Memorandum to James C. Doyle, Office 9 Director, through Alex Villanueva, Office 9 Program Manager, from Matthew Renkey, Senior Case Analyst and Emeka Chukwudebe, Case Analyst, First Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Certain Steel Nails from the Peoples' Republic of China ("PRC"): Partial Rescission of the First Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, dated September 7, 2010.

⁹ See, e.g., *Certain Frozen Fish Fillets From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Partial Rescission*, 73 FR 15479, 15480 (March 24, 2008).

¹⁰ See I&D Memo at Comment 2 and the company-specific analysis memoranda.

that are cut. Certain steel nails may be of one piece construction or constructed of two or more pieces. Certain steel nails may be produced from any type of steel, and have a variety of finishes, heads, shanks, point types, shaft lengths and shaft diameters. Finishes include, but are not limited to, coating in vinyl, zinc (galvanized, whether by electroplating or hot-dipping one or more times), phosphate cement, and paint. Head styles include, but are not limited to, flat, projection, cupped, oval, brad, headless, double, countersunk, and sinker. Shank styles include, but are not limited to, smooth, barbed, screw threaded, ring shank and fluted shank styles. Screw-threaded nails subject to this proceeding are driven using direct force and not by turning the fastener using a tool that engages with the head. Point styles include, but are not limited to, diamond, blunt, needle, chisel and no point. Finished nails may be sold in bulk, or they may be collated into strips or coils using materials such as plastic, paper, or wire. Certain steel nails subject to this proceeding are currently classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") subheadings 7317.00.55, 7317.00.65 and 7317.00.75.

Excluded from the scope of this proceeding are roofing nails of all lengths and diameter, whether collated or in bulk, and whether or not galvanized. Steel roofing nails are specifically enumerated and identified in ASTM Standard F 1667 (2005 revision) as Type I, Style 20 nails. Also excluded from the scope of this proceeding are corrugated nails. A corrugated nail is made of a small strip of corrugated steel with sharp points on one side. Also excluded from the scope of this proceeding are fasteners suitable for use in powder-actuated hand tools, not threaded and threaded, which are currently classified under HTSUS 7317.00.20 and 7317.00.30. Also excluded from the scope of this proceeding are thumb tacks, which are currently classified under HTSUS 7317.00.10.00. Also excluded from the scope of this proceeding are certain brads and finish nails that are equal to or less than 0.0720 inches in shank diameter, round or rectangular in cross section, between 0.375 inches and 2.5 inches in length, and that are collated with adhesive or polyester film tape backed with a heat seal adhesive. Also excluded from the scope of this proceeding are fasteners having a case hardness greater than or equal to 50 HRC, a carbon content greater than or equal to 0.5 percent, a round head, a secondary reduced-diameter raised

head section, a centered shank, and a smooth symmetrical point, suitable for use in gas-actuated hand tools.

While the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

Non-Market Economy Treatment

The Department considers the PRC to be a non-market economy ("NME") country.¹¹ In accordance with section 771(18)(C)(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("Act"), any determination that a foreign country is an NME country shall remain in effect until revoked by the administering authority. No party has challenged the designation of the PRC as an NME country in this review. Therefore, the Department continues to treat the PRC as an NME country for purposes of these final results.

Surrogate Country

In the *Preliminary Results*, the Department stated that it selected India as the appropriate surrogate country to use in this administrative review for the following reasons: (1) It is a significant producer of comparable merchandise; (2) it is at a similar level of economic development pursuant to section 773(c)(4) of the Act; and (3) the Department has reliable data from India that it can use to value the factors of production. As no party submitted additional comments challenging our selection of the primary surrogate country, we are continuing to use India as the surrogate country for the final results of this administrative review.

Wage Rate Methodology

Pursuant to *Dorbest*, we have calculated a revised hourly wage rate to use in valuing Stanley's reported labor. The revised wage rate is calculated by averaging earnings and/or wages in countries that are economically comparable to the PRC and that are significant producers of comparable merchandise, pursuant to section 773 of the Act. See I&D Memo at Comment 2.

Separate Rates

In proceedings involving NME countries, the Department holds a rebuttable presumption that all companies within the country are subject to government control and, thus, should be assessed a single antidumping duty rate. It is the Department's policy

¹¹ See, e.g., *Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Postponement of Final Determination: Coated Free Sheet Paper From the People's Republic of China*, 72 FR 30758, 30760 (June 4, 2007), unchanged in *Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Coated Free Sheet Paper From the People's Republic of China*, 72 FR 60632 (October 25, 2007).

to assign all exporters of subject merchandise in an NME country this single rate unless an exporter can demonstrate that it is sufficiently independent so as to be entitled to a separate rate.¹²

In the *Preliminary Results*, we determined that in addition to the mandatory respondents, Stanley and Shandong Minmetal, the Separate-Rate Applicants also met the criteria for separate rate status.¹³ Since the publication of the *Preliminary Results*, Shandong Minmetal withdrew from the review. Therefore, the Department has, as adverse facts available ("AFA"), treated Shandong Minmetal as part of the PRC-wide entity.¹⁴ Moreover, we note that the information that Shangdong Minmetal provided to the Department to demonstrate the absence of *de facto* and *de jure* control could not be verified due to its failure to cooperate. Consequently we have not granted Shandong Minmetal a separate rate.¹⁵

The separate rate is determined based on the estimated weighted-average antidumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding zero and *de minimis* margins or margins based entirely on AFA.¹⁶ In this administrative review, one mandatory respondent, Stanley, has an estimated weighted-average antidumping margin which is above *de minimis* and which is not based on total AFA. Therefore, because there is only one relevant weighted-average antidumping margin for these final results, we have assigned

¹² See *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Sparklers From the People's Republic of China*, 56 FR 20588 (May 6, 1991), as further developed in *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Silicon Carbide From the People's Republic of China*, 59 FR 22585 (May 2, 1994).

¹³ Those companies are: (1) Aironware (Shanghai) Co., Ltd.; (2) Chiieh Yung Metal Ind. Corp.; (3) China Staple Enterprise (Tianjin) Co., Ltd.; (4) Dezhou Hualude Hardware Products Co., Ltd.; (5) Faithful Engineering Products Co., Ltd.; (6) Hengshui Mingyao Hardware & Mesh Products Co., Ltd.; (7) Huanghua Jinhai Hardware Products Co., Ltd.; (8) Huanghua Xionghua Hardware Products Co., Ltd.; (9) Jisco Corporation ("Jisco"); (10) Koram Panagene Co., Ltd. ("Koram Panagene"); (11) Nanjing Yuechang Hardware Co., Ltd.; (12) Qidong Liang Chyuan Metal Industry Co., Ltd.; (13) Qingdao D & L Group Ltd.; (14) Romp (Tianjin) Hardware Co., Ltd.; (15) Shandong Dinglong Import & Export Co., Ltd.; (16) Shanghai Jade Shuttle Hardware Tools Co., Ltd.; (17) Shouguang Meiqing Nail Industry Co., Ltd.; (18) Tianjin Jinchi Metal Products Co., Ltd.; (19) Tianjin Jinghai County Hongli Industry & Business Co., Ltd.; (20) Tianjin Zhonglian Metals Ware Co., Ltd.; (21) Wintime Import & Export Corporation Limited of Zhongshan; and (22) Zhejiang Gem-Chun Hardware Accessory Co., Ltd. (collectively, "Separate-Rate Applicants").

¹⁴ See section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act.

¹⁵ See I&D Memo at Comment 13.

¹⁶ See *id.*

Stanley's margin, which is 13.90 percent, to the separate-rate companies.¹⁷

PRC-Wide Entity

In the *Preliminary Results*, the Department determined that certain PRC exporters subject to this review failed to recertify their separate rates using the separate rate certification provided at

the Department's Web site at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/nme/nme-sep-rate.html> to demonstrate their continued eligibility for separate-rate status. Thus, the Department treated these PRC exporters as part of the PRC-wide entity. The Department also found that the PRC-wide entity did not respond to our requests for information.¹⁸ As the PRC-

wide entity did not provide the Department with requested information, pursuant to section 776(a)(2)(A) of the Act, we continue to treat such entities as part of the PRC-wide entity.

Final Results of the Review

The weighted-average dumping margins for the POR are as follows:

Exporter	Weighted average margin (percent)
(1) Stanley	13.90
(2) Aironware (Shanghai) Co., Ltd	13.90
(3) Chieeh Yung Metal Ind. Corp	13.90
(4) China Staple Enterprise (Tianjin) Co., Ltd	13.90
(5) Dezhou Hualude Hardware Products Co., Ltd	13.90
(6) Faithful Engineering Products Co., Ltd	13.90
(7) Hengshui Mingyao Hardware & Mesh Products Co., Ltd	13.90
(8) Huanghua Jinhai Hardware Products Co., Ltd	13.90
(9) Huanghua Xionghua Hardware Products Co., Ltd	13.90
(10) Jisco Corporation	13.90
(11) Koram Panagene Co., Ltd	13.90
(12) Nanjing Yuechang Hardware Co., Ltd	13.90
(13) Qidong Liang Chyuan Metal Industry Co., Ltd	13.90
(14) Qingdao D & L Group Ltd	13.90
(15) Romp (Tianjin) Hardware Co., Ltd	13.90
(16) Shandong Dinglong Import & Export Co., Ltd	13.90
(17) Shanghai Jade Shuttle Hardware Tools Co., Ltd	13.90
(18) Shouguang Meiqing Nail Industry Co., Ltd	13.90
(19) Tianjin Jinchi Metal Products Co., Ltd	13.90
(20) Tianjin Jinghai County Hongli Industry & Business Co., Ltd	13.90
(21) Tianjin Zhonglian Metals Ware Co., Ltd	13.90
(22) Wintime Import & Export Corporation Limited of Zhongshan	13.90
(23) Zhejiang Gem-Chun Hardware Accessory Co., Ltd	13.90
(24) PRC-wide Entity	118.04

The following companies are not eligible for a separate rate and will be considered part of the PRC-wide entity:

Exporter
(1) Shandong Minmetal.
(2) Beijing Daruixing Global Trading Co., Ltd.
(3) Beijing Tri-Metal Co., Ltd.
(4) Beijing Yonghongsheng Metal Products Co., Ltd.
(5) Cana (Tiajin) Hardware Ind., Co., Ltd.
(6) China Silk Trading & Logistics Co., Ltd.
(7) Chongqing Hybest Nailery Co., Ltd.
(8) Dingzhou Ruili Nail Production Co. Ltd.
(9) Dong'e Fuqiang Metal Products Co., Ltd.
(10) Haixing Hongda Hardware Production Co., Ltd.
(11) Haixing Linhai Hardware Products Factory.
(12) Handuk Industrial Co., Ltd.
(13) Hilti (China) Limited.
(14) Huadu Jin Chuan Manufactory Co., Ltd.
(15) Huanghua Huarong Hardware Products Co., Ltd.
(16) Huanghua Jinhai Metal Products Co., Ltd.
(17) Huanghua Shenghua Hardware Manufactory Factory.

Exporter
(18) Huanghua Xinda Nail Production Co., Ltd.
(19) Huanghua Yufutai Hardware Products Co., Ltd.
(20) Jinding Metal Products Ltd.
(21) Joto Enterprise Co., Ltd.
(22) Kyung Dong Corp.
(23) Maanshan Longer Nail Product Co., Ltd.
(24) Nanjing Dayu Pneumatic Gun Nails Co., Ltd.
(25) Qingdao Denarius Manufacture Co. Limited
(26) Qingdao International Fastening Systems Inc.
(27) Qingdao Sino-Sun International Trading Company Limited
(28) Qingyuan County Hongyi Hardware Products Factory.
(29) Qingyun Hongyi Hardware Factory.
(30) Rizhao Changxing Nail-Making Co., Ltd.
(31) Rizhao Handuk Fasteners Co., Ltd.
(32) Rizhao Qingdong Electric Appliance Co., Ltd.
(33) Shandong Minimetals Co., Ltd.
(34) Shandong Oriental Cherry Hardware Group, Ltd.

Exporter
(35) Shanghai Curvet Hardware Products Co., Ltd.
(36) Shanghai Nanhui Jinjun Hardware Factory.
(37) Shanghai Tengyu Hardware Tools Co., Ltd.
(38) Sinochem Tianjin Imp & Exp Shenzhen Corp.
(39) Tianjin Baisheng Metal Products Co., Ltd.
(40) Tianjin Bosai Hardware Tools Co., Ltd.
(41) Tianjin City Dagang Area Jinding Metal Products Factory.
(42) Tianjin City Daman Port Area Jinding Metal Products .Factory
(43) Tianjin City Jinchi Metal Products Co., Ltd.
(44) Tianjin Dagang Dongfu Metallic Products Co., Ltd.
(45) Tianjin Dagang Hewang Nail Factory.
(46) Tianjin Dagang Hewang Nails Manufactory Plant.
(47) Tianjin Dagang Huasheng Nailery Co., Ltd.
(48) Tianjin Dagang Jingang Nail Factory.
(49) Tianjin Dagang Jingang Nails Manufactory Plant.

¹⁷ See, e.g., *Steel Wire Garment Hangers From the People's Republic of China: Final Determination of*

Sales at Less Than Fair Value, 73 FR 47587, 47591 (August 14, 2008).

¹⁸ See I&D Memo at Comment 13.

Exporter
(50) Tianjin Dagang Linda Metallic Products Co., Ltd.
(51) Tianjin Dagang Longhua Metal Products Plant.
(52) Tianjin Dagang Shenda Metal Products Co., Ltd.
(53) Tianjin Dagang Yate Nail Co., Ltd.
(54) Tianjin Foreign Trade (Group) Textile & Garment Co., Ltd.
(55) Tianjin Hewang Nail Making Factory.
(56) Tianjin Huapeng Metal Company.
(57) Tianjin Huachang Metal Products Co., Ltd.
(58) Tianjin Huasheng Nails Production Co., Ltd.
(59) Tianjin Jieli Hengyuan Metallic Products Co., Ltd.
(60) Tianjin Jietong Hardware Products Co., Ltd.
(61) Tianjin Jietong Metal Products Co., Ltd.
(62) Tianjin Jin Gang Metal Products Co., Ltd.
(63) Tianjin Jishili Hardware Co., Ltd.
(64) Tianjin JLHY Metal Products Co., Ltd.
(65) Tianjin Kunxin Hardware Co., Ltd.
(66) Tianjin Kunxin Metal Products Co., Ltd.
(67) Tianjin Linda Metal Company
(68) Tianjin Qichuan Metal Products Co., Ltd.
(69) Tianjin Ruiji Metal Products Co., Ltd.
(70) Tianjin Shishun Metal Product Co., Ltd.
(71) Tianjin Shishun Metallic Products Co., Ltd.
(72) Tianjin Xiantong Fucheng Gun Nail Manufacture Co., Ltd.
(73) Tianjin Xinyuansheng Metal Products Co., Ltd.
(74) Tianjin Yihao Metallic Products Co., Ltd.
(75) Tianjin Yongchang Metal Product Co., Ltd.
(76) Tianjin Yongxu Metal Products Co., Ltd.
(77) Tianjin Yongyi Standard Parts Production Co., Ltd.
(78) Unicatch Industrial Co., Ltd.
(79) Wuqiao County Huifeng Hardware Products Factory.
(80) Wuqiao County Xinchuang Hardware Products Factory.
(81) Wuqiao Huifeng Hardware Production Co., Ltd.
(82) Wuxi Baolin Nail-Making Machinery Co., Ltd.
(83) Wuxi Qiangye Metalwork Production Co., Ltd.
(84) Zhangjiagang Longxiang Packing Materials Co., Ltd.
(85) Zhongshan Junlong Nail Manufactures Co., Ltd.

Assessment Rates

Upon issuance of the final results, the Department will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of the final results of review, excluding any reported sales that entered during the gap period.¹⁹

¹⁹ The gap period represents the period of time after the expiration of the 180-day provisional

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), we will calculate importer-specific (or customer-specific) *ad valorem* duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of the dumping margins calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of those same sales. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we will instruct CBP to liquidate, without regard to antidumping duties, all entries of subject merchandise during the POR for which the importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*. For the companies receiving a separate rate that were not selected for individual review, we will calculate an assessment rate based on the simple average of the cash deposit rates calculated for the companies selected for individual review pursuant to section 735(c)(5)(B) of the Act.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of these final results of administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For the exporters listed above, the cash deposit rate will be established in the final results of this review (except, if the rate is zero or *de minimis*, i.e., less than 0.5 percent, no cash deposit will be required for that company); (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters not listed above that have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the exporter-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the PRC-wide rate of 118.04 percent; and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporters that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties

measures period during the original investigation, to the day prior to the U.S. International Trade Commission's final determination. In the instant case, the gap period is July 22, 2008, to July 24, 2008.

prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties has occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this administrative review and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: March 14, 2011.

Kim Glas,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix I—Issues & Decision Memorandum

General Issues

- Comment 1: Cash Deposit and Liquidation Instructions
- Comment 2: Labor Rate Methodology
- Comment 3: Surrogate Financial Ratios
- Comment 4: Steel Wire Rod Surrogate Value
- Comment 5: Electricity Surrogate Value
- Comment 6: Other Surrogate Values
 - A. Copper Coated Steel Welding Wire
 - B. Coatings
 - C. Glass Balls
 - D. Sodium Hydroxide
 - E. Sodium Sulfate
 - F. Plastic Cores
 - G. Labels
 - H. Shrink Film
 - I. Borax
 - J. Cardboard Trays
- Comment 7: Zeroing

Company-Specific Issues

Separate Rate Respondents

- Comment 8: Rate for Separate Rate Respondents

CPI

- Comment 9: Entries Incorrectly Attributed to CPI

Tengyu and Curvet

- Comment 10: Rate for Final Results

Rizhao and Wuxi Qiangye

- Comment 11: Rate for Final Results

Chieh Yung, Jisco, and Koram Panagene
 Comment 12: Withdrawal of Review Request

Shandong Minmetal
 Comment 13: Application of Total Adverse Facts Available ("AFA")

Yitian Nanjing
 Comment 14: PRC-wide Rate

Tianjin Shenyuan and Shaoxing Chengye
 Comment 15: Correction of Company Names

Oriental Cherry Group
 Comment 16: Rate for Final Results

Stanley
 Comment 17: Application of Total or Partial AFA

Comment 18: Intermediate Input Methodology

Comment 19: Indirect Selling Expenses

[FR Doc. 2011-6728 Filed 3-22-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-552-802]

Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Extension of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("Department") is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the new shipper review of certain frozen warmwater shrimp ("shrimp") from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam ("Vietnam"). The period of review for this review is February 1, 2010, through July 31, 2010.

DATES: *Effective Date:* March 23, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Walker, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; *telephone:* (202) 482-0413.

Background

On October 1, 2010, the Department published a notice of initiation of the new shipper review in the antidumping duty order on shrimp from Vietnam for Quoc Viet Seaproducts Processing Trading and Import-Export Co., Ltd. ("Quoc Viet"). See *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review*, 75 FR 60730 (October 1, 2010). The preliminary results of this review are

currently due no later than March 19, 2011.

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act"), and 19 CFR 351.214(i)(1) require the Department to issue the preliminary results of a new shipper review within 180 days after the date on which the new shipper review was initiated and final results within 90 days after the date on which the preliminary results are issued. However, the Department may extend the deadline for completion of the preliminary results of a new shipper review to 300 days if it determines that the case is extraordinarily complicated. See 19 CFR 351.214(i)(2).

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Review

The Department has determined that the review is extraordinarily complicated as the Department must issue, and analyze the responses to, additional supplemental questionnaires concerning Quoc Viet's sales practices and its shrimp factors of production usage ratio. Moreover, the Department needs additional time to analyze the *bona fide* nature of Quoc Viet's sale, which includes gathering data from U.S. Customs and Border Protection. Based on the timing of the case and the additional information that must be gathered, the preliminary results of this new shipper review cannot be completed within 180 days.

Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results of this new shipper review by 26 days from the original March 19, 2011, deadline. The preliminary results will now be due no later than April 14, 2011. The final results continue to be due 90 days after the issuance of the preliminary results.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: March 16, 2011.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2011-6883 Filed 3-22-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-552-802]

Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* March 23, 2011.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the "Department") has determined that a request for a new shipper review ("NSR") of the antidumping duty order on certain frozen warmwater shrimp ("shrimp") from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam ("Vietnam"), received on February 28, 2011, meets the statutory and regulatory requirements for initiation. The period of review ("POR") for this NSR is February 1, 2010–January 31, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Walker, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; *telephone:* 202-482-0413.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The notice announcing the antidumping duty order on shrimp from Vietnam was published in the **Federal Register** on February 1, 2005.¹ On February 28, 2011, pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act"), and section 351.214(c) of the Department's regulations, the Department received a NSR request from Thong Thuan Seafood Co., Ltd. ("Thong Thuan"). Thong Thuan certified that it is a producer and exporter of the subject merchandise upon which the request was based.

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(i)(I) of the Act and section 351.214(b)(2)(i) of the Department's regulations, Thong Thuan certified that it did not export subject merchandise to the United States during the period of investigation ("POI"). In addition, pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(i)(II) of the Act and section 351.214(b)(2)(iii)(A) of the Department's regulations, Thong Thuan certified that, since the initiation of the investigation, it has never been affiliated with any Vietnamese exporter or producer who

¹ See *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam*, 70 FR 5152 (February 1, 2005) ("Order").