

Law 111–260, as amended by Public Law 111–265. The Commission hosted a Town Hall meeting at the 26th Annual International Technology and Persons with Disabilities Conference, hosted by CSUN. The purpose of the Town Hall meeting was to educate the public about the Act's provisions, and answer consumers' questions regarding the Act. The Town Hall meeting was one of the many steps that the Commission has taken to obtain public feedback as it implements the Act. The Town Hall meeting at CSUN began with an orientation to the CVAA that focused on what the CVAA means to consumers with disabilities. The FCC then conducted an open dialogue on the Act's provisions, providing an opportunity for attendees to express their opinions on ways the FCC can best implement the CVAA. For purposes of the Commission's *ex parte* rules regarding permit-but-disclose proceedings (47 CFR 1.1206(b)(2) of the Commission's rules), any comments made at the Town Hall on the implementation of the CVAA that pertain to the Act's provisions on advanced communications services, video description, the deaf-blind equipment distribution program, and TRS contributions by VoIP providers, were deemed oral *ex parte* presentations in the pending rulemaking proceedings to which they relate. A written transcript of the Town Hall meeting (captured from computer-aided real-time transcription) was placed in the dockets of the relevant proceedings to comply with the disclosure requirements of the *ex parte* rules. The event was free and open to the public.

### Synopsis

The CVAA is designed to ensure that people with disabilities have access to emerging twenty-first century communications and video programming technologies. The Act seeks to implement many recommendations of the National Broadband Plan, and will ensure access to advanced communications equipment and services, expand the availability of hearing aid compatible telephones used with those services, enhance the scope of and contributions to the nation's telecommunications relay services, and create an equipment distribution program for people who are deaf-blind. In addition, the law will fill accessibility gaps in video programming through the provision of video description on television and closed captioning on television programming re-shown on the Internet, ensure the accessibility of video programming devices, and require televised

emergency programming to be accessible by people who are blind or visually impaired. As it works through its implementation of the CVAA, the Commission is collaborating closely with consumer and industry stakeholders through two mandated advisory committees.

Federal Communications Commission.

**Karen Peltz Strauss,**

*Deputy Chief, Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau.*

[FR Doc. 2011–9339 Filed 4–15–11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6712–01–P**

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[WT Docket No. 11–35; DA 11–613]

### Wireless Telecommunications Bureau Extends Period for Filing Comments and Reply Comments on Petition for Declaratory Ruling Asking To Clarify the Scope of Preemption of Wireless Entry Regulation

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice; extension of filing and reply comment period.

**SUMMARY:** In this document, the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau extends the deadline for filing comments and reply comments in response to the Public Notice seeking comment on the December 3, 2010 petition for declaratory ruling (Petition) filed by CTIA—The Wireless Association (Petitioners). The Petitioners asked the Federal Communications Commission (Commission) to clarify “the scope of Section 332(c)(3)(A)’s ban on state and local entry regulation.”

**DATES:** Interested parties may file comments on or before June 10, 2011, and reply comments on or before July 11, 2011.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments, identified by WT Docket No. 11–35, by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- *Federal Communications Commission's Web Site:* <http://fjallfoss.fcc.gov/ecfs2/>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- *People with Disabilities:* Contact the FCC to request reasonable accommodations (accessible format documents, sign language interpreters, CART, etc.) by *e-mail:* [FCC504@fcc.gov](mailto:FCC504@fcc.gov) or *phone:* 202–418–0530 or TTY: 202–418–0432.

For detailed instructions for submitting comments and additional information on the rulemaking process, see the supplementary information section of this document.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jennifer Salhus, Spectrum and Competition Policy Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, 202–418–1310.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a summary of the Commission's Public Notice released on April 5, 2011. The full text of the public notice is available for public inspection and copying during business hours in the FCC Reference Information Center, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY–A257, Washington, DC 20554. It also may be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor at Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY–B402, Washington, DC 20554; the contractor's Web site, <http://www.bcpweb.com>; or by calling (800) 378–3160, facsimile (202) 488–5563, or e-mail [FCC@BCPIWEB.com](mailto:FCC@BCPIWEB.com). Additionally, the complete item is available on the Federal Communications Commission's Web site at <http://www.fcc.gov>.

On February 25, 2011, the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau (Bureau) released a Public Notice seeking comment on the CTIA petition for declaratory ruling asking the Commission to clarify “the scope of Section 332(c)(3)(A)’s ban on state and local entry regulation.” The Petitioners stated that the Connecticut Department of Public Utility Control (Connecticut PUC) “ordered that wireless providers must apply for and obtain a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) from the [Connecticut PUC] before they can request permission to access public rights-of-way.” The Petitioners asked the Commission to declare that Connecticut's CPCN requirement is a form of entry regulation that is prohibited by section 332(c)(A)(3).

On April 1, 2011, the Petitioners along with the Connecticut PUC (collectively, the “Parties”) submitted a joint request for a 60-day extension of the comment and reply comment deadlines in this proceeding. The Parties state that the Connecticut PUC recently published draft changes to the requirements at issue in this matter and that a 60-day extension is “in the public interest because it will allow commenters a meaningful period of time to review, analyze, and respond to any final actions the [Connecticut PUC] takes on the draft decision.”

The Bureau finds that granting the Parties' request and extending the

comment and reply comment deadlines by 60 days is in the public interest. Extending the comment period will ensure that parties have sufficient time to consider and address developments in this matter and the extent to which they moot the controversy at issue in the Petition. Therefore, interested parties will now have until June 10, 2011 to file comments and July 11, 2011 to file reply comments as opposed to the April 11, 2011 and May 11, 2011 deadlines set forth in the Public Notice.

Pursuant to §§ 1.415 and 1.419 of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR 1.415, 1.419, interested parties may file comments and reply comments on or before the dates indicated above. Comments may be filed using: (1) The Commission's Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS), (2) the Federal Government's eRulemaking Portal, or (3) by filing paper copies. *See Electronic Filing of Documents in Rulemaking Proceedings*, 63 FR 24121 (1998).

- *Electronic Filers*: Comments may be filed electronically using the Internet by accessing the ECFS: <http://fjallfoss.fcc.gov/ecfs2/> or the Federal eRulemaking Portal: <http://www.regulations.gov>.

- *Paper Filers*: Parties who choose to file by paper must file an original and four copies of each filing. If more than one docket or rulemaking number appears in the caption of this proceeding, filers must submit two additional copies for each additional docket or rulemaking number.

Filings can be sent by hand or messenger delivery, by commercial overnight courier, or by first-class or overnight U.S. Postal Service mail (although we continue to experience delays in receiving U.S. Postal Service mail). All filings must be addressed to the Commission's Secretary, Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission.

- All hand-delivered or messenger-delivered paper filings for the Commission's Secretary must be delivered to FCC Headquarters at 445 12th St., SW., Room TW-A325, Washington, DC 20554. The filing hours are 8 a.m. to 7 p.m. All hand deliveries must be held together with rubber bands or fasteners. Any envelopes must be disposed of *before* entering the building.

- Commercial overnight mail (other than U.S. Postal Service Express Mail and Priority Mail) must be sent to 9300 East Hampton Drive, Capitol Heights, MD 20743.

- U.S. Postal Service first-class, Express, and Priority mail must be addressed to 445 12th Street, SW., Washington DC 20554.

*People with Disabilities*: To request materials in accessible formats for people with disabilities (braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), send an e-mail to [fcc504@fcc.gov](mailto:fcc504@fcc.gov) or call the Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau at 202-418-0530 (voice), 202-418-0432 (tty).

Federal Communications Commission.

**Nese Guendelsberger,**

*Chief, Spectrum and Competition Policy Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau.*

[FR Doc. 2011-9199 Filed 4-15-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6712-01-P**

---

## FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[Docket No. 11-06]

**Indigo Logistics, LLC, Liliya Ivanenko, and Leonid Ivanenko—Possible Violations of Section 19 of the Shipping Act of 1984 and the Commission's Regulations; Order of Investigation and Hearing**

**AGENCY:** Federal Maritime Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of Order of Investigation and Hearing.

**Authority:** 46 U.S.C. 41302.

**DATES:** The Order of Investigation and Hearing was served April 7, 2011.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On April 7, 2011 the Federal Maritime Commission instituted an Order of Investigation and Hearing entitled Indigo Logistics, LLC; Liliya Ivanenko; and Leonid Ivanenko—Possible Violations of Section 19 of the Shipping Act of 1984 and the Commission's Regulations at 46 CFR part 515. Acting pursuant to Section 11 of the Shipping Act, 46 U.S.C. 41302, that investigation is instituted to determine:

(1) Whether Indigo Logistics, LLC, Liliya Ivanenko, and Leonid Ivanenko violated Section 19 of the Shipping Act, 46 U.S.C. 40901, 40902, and the Commission's regulations at 46 CFR part 515, by acting as an ocean freight forwarder without a license or evidence of financial responsibility;

(2) Whether, in the event violations of Section 19 of the Shipping Act of 1984 are found, civil penalties should be assessed against Indigo Logistics, LLC, Liliya Ivanenko, and/or Leonid Ivanenko, and, if so, the amount of penalties to be assessed; and

(3) Whether, in the event violations are found, appropriate cease and desist orders should be issued.

The Order may be viewed in its entirety at <http://www.fmc.gov>.

**Karen V. Gregory,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2011-9282 Filed 4-15-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6730-01-P**

---

## GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

[OMB Control No. 3090-0200; Docket 2011-0001; Sequence 1]

**General Services Administration Acquisition Regulation; Information Collection; Sealed Bidding**

**AGENCY:** Office of the Chief Acquisition Officer, GSA.

**ACTION:** Notice of request for comments regarding a renewal to an existing OMB clearance.

**SUMMARY:** Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35), the Regulatory Secretariat (MVCB) will be submitting to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an extension of a previously approved information collection requirement regarding sealed bidding.

Public comments are particularly invited on: Whether this collection of information is necessary and whether it will have practical utility; whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection of information is accurate and based on valid assumptions and methodology; and ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected.

**DATES:** Submit comments on or before: June 17, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael O. Jackson, Procurement Analyst, Contract Policy Branch, at telephone (202) 208-4949 or [michaelo.jackson@gsa.gov](mailto:michaelo.jackson@gsa.gov).

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments identified by Information Collection 3090-0200 by any of the following methods:

- *Regulations.gov*: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Submit comments via the Federal eRulemaking portal by inputting "Information Collection 3090-0200" under the heading "Enter Keyword or ID" and selecting "Search". Select the link "Submit a Comment" that corresponds with "Information Collection 3090-0200". Follow the instructions provided at the "Submit a Comment" screen. Please include your name, company name (if any), and "Information Collection 3090-0200" on your attached document.