

§ 930.41

management program, and (c) must include information on each proposed activity sufficient to support the consistency determination.

§ 930.41 State agency response.

(a) A State agency shall inform the Federal agency of its agreement or disagreement with the Federal agency's consistency determination at the earliest practicable time. If a final response has not been developed and issued within 45 days from receipt of the Federal agency notification, the State agency should at that time inform the Federal agency of the status of the matter and the basis for further delay. The Federal agency may presume State agency agreement if the State agency fails to provide a response within 45 days from receipt of the Federal agency notification.

(b) State agency agreement shall not be presumed in cases where the State agency, with the 45 day period, requests an extension of time to review the matter. Federal agencies shall approve one request for an extension period of 15 days or less. In considering whether a longer or additional extension period is appropriate, the Federal agency should consider the magnitude and complexity of the information contained in the consistency determination.

(c) Final Federal agency action may not be taken sooner than 90 days from the issuance of the consistency determination to the State agency unless both the Federal agency and the State agency agree to an alternative period (see § 930.34(b)).

§ 930.42 State agency disagreement.

(a) In the event the State agency disagrees with the Federal agency's consistency determination, the State agency shall accompany its response to the Federal agency with its reasons for the disagreement and supporting information. The State agency response must describe (1) how the proposed activity will be inconsistent with specific elements of the management program, and (2) alternative measures (if they exist) which, if adopted by the Federal agency, would allow the activity to proceed in a manner consistent to the

15 CFR Ch. IX (1-1-01 Edition)

maximum extent practicable with the management program.

(b) If the State agency's disagreement is based upon a finding that the Federal agency has failed to supply sufficient information (see § 930.39(a)), the State agency's response must describe the nature of the information requested and the necessity of having such information to determine the consistency of the Federal activity with the management program.

(c) State agencies shall send to the Assistant Administrator a copy of responses which describe disagreements with Federal agency consistency determinations.

§ 930.43 Availability of mediation for disputes concerning proposed activities.

(a) In the event of a serious disagreement between a Federal agency and a State agency regarding the consistency of a proposed Federal activity directly affecting the coastal zone, either party may request the Secretarial mediation services provided for in subpart G.

§ 930.44 Availability of mediation for previously reviewed activities.

(a) Federal and State agencies shall cooperate in their efforts to monitor Federally approved activities in order to make certain that such activities continue to be undertaken in a manner consistent, to the maximum extent practicable, with the State's management program.

(b) The State agency shall request that the Federal agency take appropriate remedial action following a serious disagreement resulting from a State agency's objection to a Federal activity which was: (1) Previously determined to be consistent to the maximum extent practicable with the State's management program, but which the State agency later maintains is being conducted or is having a coastal zone effect substantially different than originally proposed and, as a result, is no longer consistent to the maximum extent practicable with the State's management program, or (2) previously determined not to be a Federal activity directly affecting the coastal zone, but which the State agency later maintains is being conducted

or is having a coastal zone effect substantially different than originally proposed and, as a result, the activity directly affects the coastal zone and is not consistent to the maximum extent practicable with the State's management program. The State agency's request must include supporting information and a proposal for recommended remedial action.

(c) If, after a reasonable time following a request for remedial action, the State agency still maintains that a serious disagreement exists, either party may request the Secretarial mediation services provided for in subpart G.

Subpart D—Consistency for Activities Requiring a Federal License or Permit

§ 930.50 Objectives.

The provisions of this subpart are provided to assure that Federally licensed or permitted activities affecting the coastal zone are conducted in a manner consistent with approved management programs.

§ 930.51 Federal license or permit.

(a) The term *Federal license or permit* means any authorization, certification, approval, or other form of permission which any Federal agency is empowered to issue to an applicant.

(b) The term also includes the following types of renewals and major amendments which affect the coastal zone:

(1) Renewals and major amendments of Federal license and permit activities not previously reviewed by the State agency;

(2) Renewals and major amendments of Federal license and permit activities previously reviewed by the State agency which are filed after and are subject to management program amendments not in existence at the time of original State agency review; and

(3) Renewals and major amendments of Federal license and permit activities previously reviewed by the State agency which will cause coastal zone effects substantially different than those originally reviewed by the State agency.

§ 930.52 Applicant.

The term *applicant* means any individual, public or private corporation, partnership, association, or other entity organized or existing under the laws of any State, or any State, regional, or local government, who, following management program approval, files an application for a Federal license or permit to conduct an activity affecting the coastal zone. The term "applicant" does not include Federal agencies applying for Federal licenses or permits. Federal agency "activities" requiring Federal licenses or permits are subject to the consistency requirements of subpart C of this part.

§ 930.53 Management program license and permit listing.

(a) During management program development, Federal agencies should assist State agencies in identifying Federal license and permit activities which reasonably can be expected to affect the coastal zone.

(b) State agencies shall develop a list of Federal license and permit activities which are likely to affect the coastal zone and which the State agency wishes to review for consistency with the management program. The list shall be included as part of the management program, and the Federal license and permit activities shall be described in terms of the specific licenses or permits involved (e.g., Corps of Engineers 404 permits, Coast Guard bridge permits, etc.). In the event the State agency chooses to review Federal licenses and permits for activities outside of the coastal zone but likely to affect the coastal zone, it must generally describe the geographic location of such activities.

(c) If a State agency wishes to avoid repeated review of minor Federally permitted activities which, while individually inconsequential, cumulatively cause effects on the coastal zone, the State agency, after developing conditions allowing concurrence for such activities, may issue a general public notice (see §930.61) and general concurrence allowing similar minor work in the same geographic area to proceed without prior State agency review. In such cases, the State agency must set