

## § 930.59

(2) Information required by the State agency pursuant to § 930.56(b).

(3) A brief assessment relating the probable coastal zone effects of the proposal and its associated facilities to the relevant elements of the management program.

(4) A brief set of findings, derived from the assessment, indicating that the proposed activity (e.g., project siting and construction), its associated facilities (e.g., access road, support buildings), and their effects (e.g., air, water, waste discharges, erosion, wetlands, beach access impacts) are all consistent with the provisions of the management program. In developing findings, the applicant shall give appropriate weight to the various types of provisions within the management program. While applicants must be consistent with the enforceable, mandatory policies of the management program, they need only demonstrate adequate consideration of policies which are in the nature of recommendations. Applicants need not make findings with respect to coastal zone effects for which the management program does not contain mandatory or recommended policies.

(b) At the request of the applicant, interested parties who have access to information and data required by subparagraphs (a) (1) and (2) of this section may provide the State agency with all or part of the material required. Furthermore, upon request by the applicant, the State agency shall provide assistance for developing the assessment and findings required by paragraphs (a) (3) and (4) of this section.

(c) When satisfied that adequate protection against public disclosure exists, applicants should provide the State agency with confidential and proprietary information which the State agency maintains is necessary to make a reasoned decision on the consistency of the proposal. State agency requests for such information must be related to the necessity of having such information to assess adequately the coastal zone effects of the proposal.

## § 930.59 Multiple permit review.

(a) Applicants shall, to the extent practicable, consolidate related Federal license and permit activities af-

## 15 CFR Ch. IX (1–1–01 Edition)

fecting the coastal zone for State agency review. State agencies shall, to the extent practicable, provide applicants with a “one-stop” multiple permit review for consolidated permits to minimize duplication of effort and to avoid unnecessary delays.

(b) A State agency objection to one or more of the license or permit activities submitted for consolidated review shall not prevent the applicant from receiving Federal agency approval for those license and permit activities found to be consistent with the management program.

## § 930.60 Commencement of State agency review.

(a) Except as provided in § 930.54(e), State agency review of an applicant’s consistency certification begins at the time the State agency receives a copy of the consistency certification, and the information and data required pursuant to § 930.58.

(b) A State agency request for information or data in addition to that required by § 930.58 shall not extend the date of commencement of State agency review.

## § 930.61 Public notice.

(a) Following receipt of the material described in § 930.60 the State agency shall ensure timely public notice of the proposed activity. At a minimum the provision of public notice must be in accordance with State law. In addition, public notice must be provided in the immediate area of the coastal zone which is likely to be affected by the proposed activity. Public notice shall be expanded in proportion to the degree of likely public interest resulting from the unique geographic area involved, the substantial commitment of or impact on coastal resources, the complexity or controversy of the proposal, or for other good cause.

(b) Public notice shall facilitate public comment by providing a summary of the proposed activity, by announcing the availability for inspection of the consistency certification and accompanying public information and data, and by requesting that comments be submitted to the State agency.

(c) A number of procedural options, if permitted by State law, are available

to State agencies to satisfy the public notice requirements of this subpart. They include, but are not limited to:

- (1) The State agency providing the public notice;
- (2) The State agency requiring the applicant to provide the public notice; or
- (3) The State agency relying upon the public notice provided by the Federal agency reviewing the application for the Federal license or permit (e.g., notice of availability of NEPA environmental impact statements) if such notice satisfies the minimum requirements set forth in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section.

(d) Federal and State agencies are encouraged to issue joint public notices whenever possible to minimize duplication of effort and to avoid unnecessary delays.

(Executive Order 12372, July 14, 1982 (47 FR 30959), as amended April 8, 1983 (48 FR 15587); sec. 401, Intergovernmental Cooperation Act of 1968, as amended (31 U.S.C. 6506); sec 204, Demonstration Cities and Metropolitan Development Act of 1966 as amended (42 U.S.C. 3334)).

[44 FR 37143, June 25, 1979, as amended at 48 FR 29136, June 24, 1983]

**§ 930.62 Public hearings.**

(a) At the discretion of the State agency, public notice may include the announcement of one or more public hearings. Public hearings shall be scheduled with a view towards (1) allowing access to the consistency certification and accompanying public information within a reasonable time prior to the hearing, (2) facilitating broad public attendance and participation at the hearing, and (3) affording the applicant expeditious consideration of the proposed activity.

(b) Federal and State agencies are encouraged to hold joint public hearings in the event both agencies determine that a hearing on the action is necessary.

**§ 930.63 State agency concurrence with a consistency certification.**

(a) At the earliest practicable time, the State agency shall notify the Federal agency and the applicant whether the State agency concurs with or objects to a consistency certification.

Concurrence by the State agency shall be conclusively presumed in the absence of a State agency objection within six months following commencement of State agency review.

(b) State agencies should restrict the period of public notice, receipt of comments, hearing proceedings and final decision-making to the minimum time necessary to inform the public, obtain sufficient comment, and develop a reasonable decision on the matter. If the State agency has not issued a decision within three months following commencement of State agency review, it shall notify the applicant and the Federal agency of the status of the matter and the basis for further delay.

(c) If the State agency issues a concurrence or is conclusively presumed to concur with the applicant's consistency certification, the Federal agency may approve the Federal license or permit application. Notwithstanding State agency concurrence with a consistency certification, the Federal permitting agency may deny approval of the Federal license or permit application. Federal agencies should not delay processing applications pending receipt of a State agency's concurrence. In the event a Federal agency determines that an application will not be approved, it shall immediately notify the applicant and the State agency.

**§ 930.64 State agency objection to a consistency certification.**

(a) If the State agency objects to the applicant's consistency certification within six months following commencement of review, it shall notify the applicant, Federal agency and Assistant Administrator of the objection.

(b) State agency objections must describe (1) how the proposed activity is inconsistent with specific elements of the management program, and (2) alternative measures (if they exist) which, if adopted by the applicant, would permit the proposed activity to be conducted in a manner consistent with the management program.

(c) During the period when the State agency is reviewing the consistency certification, the applicant and the State agency should attempt to agree upon conditions, which, if met by the applicant, would permit State agency