

§ 700.31

(ii) In determining the quality of the management plan of a proposed project, the Secretary may consider one or more of the following factors:

(A) The adequacy of the management plan to achieve the objectives of the project, including the specification of staff responsibility, timelines, and benchmarks for accomplishing project tasks.

(B) The adequacy of plans for ensuring high-quality products and services.

(C) The adequacy of plans for ensuring continuous improvement in the operation of the project.

(D) Whether time commitments of the project director or principal investigator and other key personnel are appropriate and adequate to meet project objectives.

(E) How the applicant will ensure that a diversity of perspectives are brought to bear in the operation of the project, including those of parents and teachers, where appropriate.

(F) How the applicant will ensure that persons who are otherwise eligible to participate in the project are selected without regard to race, color, national origin, gender, age, or disability.

(G) The adequacy of plans for widespread dissemination of project results and products in ways that will assist others to use the information.

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 1850-0723)

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 6011(i)(2)(D)(ii))

§ 700.31 What additional evaluation criteria shall be used for grants and cooperative agreements?

In addition to the evaluation criteria established in § 700.30(e), the Secretary uses criteria or factors specified in the applicable program statute to evaluate applications for grants and cooperative agreements.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 6011(i)(2)(D)(ii))

§ 700.32 What evaluation criteria shall be used for contracts?

(a) The evaluation criteria to be considered in the technical evaluation of contract proposals are contained in the FAR at 48 CFR 15.605. The evaluation criteria that apply to an acquisition and the relative importance of those

34 CFR Ch. VII (7-1-02 Edition)

factors are within the broad discretion of agency acquisition officials.

(b) At a minimum, the evaluation criteria to be considered must include cost or price and quality. Evaluation factors related to quality are called technical evaluation criteria.

(c) Technical evaluation criteria may include, but are not limited to, the following:

- (1) Technical excellence.
- (2) Management capability.
- (3) Personnel qualifications.
- (4) Prior experience.
- (5) Past performance.
- (6) Schedule compliance.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 6011(i)(2)(D)(ii))

Subpart E—Selection for Award

§ 700.40 How are grant and cooperative agreement applications selected for award?

(a) The Secretary determines the order in which applications will be selected for grants and cooperative agreement awards. The Secretary considers the following in making these determinations:

- (1) An applicant's ranking.
- (2) Recommendations of the peer reviewers with regard to funding or not funding.
- (3) Information concerning an applicant's performance and use of funds under a previous Federal award.
- (4) Amount of funds available for the competition.
- (5) Any other information relevant to a priority or other statutory or regulatory requirement applicable to the selection of applications for new awards.

(b) In the case of unsolicited applications, the Secretary uses the procedures in EDGAR (34 CFR 75.222(d) and (e)).

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 6022(i)(2)(D)(i))

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 6022(i)(2)(D)(i))

§ 700.41 How are contract proposals selected for award?

Following evaluation of the proposals, the contracting officer shall select for award the offeror whose proposal is most advantageous to the Government considering cost or price and

the other factors included in the solicitation.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 6011(i)(2)(D)(i))

PART 701—STANDARDS FOR CONDUCT AND EVALUATION OF ACTIVITIES CARRIED OUT BY THE OFFICE OF EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH AND IMPROVEMENT (OERI)—DESIGNATION OF EXEMPLARY AND PROMISING PROGRAMS

Subpart A—General

Sec.

- 701.1 What is the purpose of these standards?
- 701.2 What definitions apply?
- 701.3 Who is eligible to submit an educational program for review?
- 701.4 What must a program sponsor submit for review?
- 701.5 What are the procedures for submitting an educational program for review by an expert panel?

Subpart B—Selection of Panel Members

- 701.10 How are panels established?
- 701.11 How is the membership of expert panels determined?

Subpart C—The Expert Panel Review Process

- 701.20 How does an expert panel evaluate programs?
- 701.21 What is the difference between an exemplary and a promising program?
- 701.22 What criteria are used to evaluate programs for exemplary or promising designation?

AUTHORITY: 20 U.S.C. 6011(i), unless otherwise noted.

SOURCE: 62 FR 61430, Nov. 17, 1997, unless otherwise note.

Subpart A—General

§ 701.1 What is the purpose of these standards?

- (a) The standards in this part implement section 941(d) of the Educational Research, Development, Dissemination, and Improvement Act of 1994.
- (b) These standards are intended to provide quality assurance that educational programs designated by the U.S. Department of Education as either exemplary or promising have met cri-

teria that will allow educators, professional organizations, and others to use these programs with confidence.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 6011(i)(2)(B)(iii) and (E), 6041(d))

§ 701.2 What definitions apply?

The following definitions apply to this part:

Assistant Secretary means the Assistant Secretary for the Office of Educational Research and Improvement.

Educational programs mean educational policies, research findings, practices, and products.

Program sponsor means a party submitting an educational program for designation by the Secretary as either promising or exemplary.

Secretary means the Secretary of the Department of Education or an official or employee of the Department acting for the Secretary under a delegation of authority.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 6011(i)(2)(B)(iii) and (E), 6041(d))

§ 701.3 Who is eligible to submit an educational program for review?

Any public or private agency, organization or institution, or an individual may submit an educational program for review.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 6011(i)(2)(B)(iii) and (E), 6041(d))

§ 701.4 What must a program sponsor submit for review?

(a) To have an educational program considered for designation as exemplary or promising, a sponsor must submit to the Secretary a description of the program, program materials, and a discussion of the program that is responsive to the criteria in § 701.22.

(b) Information submitted must include, to the extent relevant to the particular program,—

- (1) A program abstract of 250 words or less;
- (2) A description of the salient features of the program;
- (3) A description of the program's philosophy and history;
- (4) Site information, including demographics;
- (5) A description of evaluation results;