

§ 457.170

complete information necessary to review the State plan or plan amendment.

§ 457.170 Withdrawal process.

(a) *Withdrawal of proposed State plans or plan amendments.* A State may withdraw a proposed State plan or plan amendment, or any portion of a proposed State plan or plan amendment, at any time during the review process by providing written notice to CMS of the withdrawal.

(b) *Withdrawal of approved State plans.* A State may request withdrawal of an approved State plan by submitting a State plan amendment to CMS in accordance with § 457.60.

Subpart B—General Administration—Reviews and Audits; Withholding for Failure to Comply; Deferral and Disallowance of Claims; Reduction of Federal Medical Payments

§ 457.200 Program reviews.

(a) *Review of State and local administration of the SCHIP plan.* In order to determine whether the State is complying with the Federal requirements and the provisions of its plan, CMS reviews State and local administration of the SCHIP plan through analysis of the State's policies and procedures, on-site reviews of selected aspects of agency operation, and examination of samples of individual case records.

(b) *Action on review findings.* If Federal or State reviews reveal serious problems with respect to compliance with any Federal or State plan requirement, the State must correct its practice accordingly.

§ 457.202 Audits.

(a) *Purpose.* The Department's Office of Inspector General (OIG) periodically audits State operations in order to determine whether —

(1) The program is being operated in a cost-efficient manner; and

(2) Funds are being properly expended for the purposes for which they were appropriated under Federal and State law and regulations.

42 CFR Ch. IV (10–1–02 Edition)

(b) *Reports.* (1) The OIG releases audit reports simultaneously to State officials and the Department's program officials.

(2) The reports set forth OIG opinion and recommendations regarding the practices it reviewed, and the allowability of the costs it audited.

(3) Cognizant officials of the Department make final determinations on all audit findings.

(c) *Action on audit exceptions.* (1) *Concurrence or clearance.* The State agency has the opportunity of concurring in the exceptions or submitting additional facts that support clearance of the exceptions.

(2) *Appeal.* Any exceptions that are not disposed of under paragraph (c)(1) of this section are included in a disallowance letter that constitutes the Department's final decision unless the State requests reconsideration by the Appeals Board. (Specific rules are set forth in § 457.212.)

(3) *Adjustment.* If the decision by the Board requires an adjustment of FFP, either upward or downward, a subsequent grant award promptly reflects the amount of increase or decrease.

§ 457.203 Administrative and judicial review of action on State plan material.

(a) *Request for reconsideration.* Any State dissatisfied with the Administrator's action on State plan material under § 457.150 may, within 60 days after receipt of the notice of final determination provided under § 457.160(a), request that the Administrator reconsider whether the State plan or plan amendment conforms with the requirements for approval.

(b) *Notice of hearing.* Within 30 days after receipt of the request, the Administrator notifies the State of the time and place of a hearing to be held for the purpose of reconsideration.

(c) *Hearing procedures.* The hearing procedures set forth in part 430, subpart D of this chapter govern a hearing requested under this section.

(d) *Effect of hearing decision.* CMS does not delay the denial of Federal funds, if required by the Administrator's original determination, pending a hearing decision. If the Administrator

determines that his or her original decision was incorrect, CMS will pay the State a lump sum equal to any funds incorrectly denied.

[66 FR 2674, Jan. 11, 2001]

§ 457.204 Withholding of payment for failure to comply with Federal requirements.

(a) *Basis for withholding.* CMS withholds payments to the State, in whole or in part, only if, after giving the State notice, a reasonable opportunity for correction, and an opportunity for a hearing, the Administrator finds—

(1) That the plan is in substantial noncompliance with the requirements of title XXI of the Act; or

(2) That the State is conducting its program in substantial noncompliance with either the State plan or the requirements of title XXI of the Act. (Hearings are generally not called until a reasonable effort has been made to resolve the issues through conferences and discussions. These efforts may be continued even if a date and place have been set for the hearing.)

(b) *Noncompliance of the plan.* A question of noncompliance of a State plan may arise from an unapprovable change in the approved State plan or the failure of the State to change its approved plan to conform to a new Federal requirement for approval of State plans.

(c) *Noncompliance in practice.* A question of noncompliance in practice may arise from the State's failure to actually comply with a Federal requirement, regardless of whether the plan itself complies with that requirement.

(d) *Notice, reasonable opportunity for correction, and implementation of withholding.* If the Administrator makes a finding of noncompliance under paragraph (a) of this section, the following steps apply:

(1) *Preliminary notice.* The Administrator provides a preliminary notice to the State—

(i) Of the findings of noncompliance;

(ii) The proposed enforcement actions to withhold payments; and

(iii) If enforcement action is proposed, that the State has a reasonable opportunity for correction, described in paragraph (d)(2) of this section, before the Administrator takes final action.

(2) *Opportunity for corrective action.* If enforcement actions are proposed, the State must submit evidence of corrective action related to the findings of noncompliance to the Administrator within 30 days from the date of the preliminary notification. Corrective action is action to ensure that the plan is, and will be, administered consistent with applicable law and regulations, to ameliorate past deficiencies in plan administration, or to ensure that enrollees will be treated equitably.

(3) *Final notice.* Taking into account any evidence submitted by the State under paragraph (d)(2) of this section, the Administrator makes a final determination related to the findings of noncompliance, and provides a final notice to the State—

(i) Of the final determination on the findings of noncompliance;

(ii) If enforcement action is appropriate—

(A) No further payments will be made to the State (or that payments will be made only for those portions or aspects of the programs that are not affected by the noncompliance); and

(B) The total or partial withholding will continue until the Administrator is satisfied that the State's plan and practice are, and will continue to be, in compliance with Federal requirements.

(4) *Hearing.* An opportunity for a hearing will be provided to the State prior to withholding under paragraph (d)(5) of this section.

(5) *Withholding.* CMS withholds payments, in whole or in part, until the Administrator is satisfied regarding the State's compliance.

[65 FR 33622, May 24, 2000, as amended at 66 FR 2674, Jan. 11, 2001]

§ 457.206 Administrative appeals under SCHIP.

Three distinct types of determinations are subject to Departmental reconsideration upon request by a State.

(a) *Compliance with Federal requirements.* A determination that a State's plan or proposed plan amendments, or its practice under the plan do not meet (or continue to meet) Federal requirements are subject to the hearing provisions of 42 CFR part 430, subpart D of this chapter.