

(3) In exceptionally complex procurements, a source selection method other than MidRange Best Value Selection may be more appropriate. This may be appropriate in cases in which the following factors cannot be accommodated within the MidRange/BVS selection methodology:

- (i) The ability to predefine the value characteristics that will constitute the discriminators among the offers;
- (ii) The complexity of the interrelationships that must be evaluated;
- (iii) The number of evaluators required to address the disciplines that will be involved in the offers; or
- (iv) The impact that the procurement may have on higher level mission management (level of selection official) or future procurements.

(4) See FAR 15.304, FAR 15.305(a)(2), and 1815.305(a)(2) regarding the evaluation of past performance.

(5) When proceeding with an unrestricted acquisition see—

(i) FAR Subpart 19.11 regarding use of the price evaluation adjustment for SDB concerns. SDB concerns that choose the FAR 19.11 price evaluation adjustment shall receive no consideration under a MidRange BVS value characteristic that addresses the FAR 19.1202 SDB participation evaluation;

(ii) FAR 19.1202 regarding the evaluation of the participation of SDB concerns in performance of the contract. For BVS MidRange acquisitions, SDB participation shall be evaluated as a BVS value characteristic (see 1871.603(b)); and

(iii) FAR Subpart 19.13 regarding use of the price evaluation preference for HUBZone small business concerns.

(b) Procedures. (1) The buying team will determine which of the source selection methodologies is most appropriate to the specific procurement.

(2) The team shall record its rationale for selecting a methodology rather than BVS. Once this decision is made, the team shall no longer function as a MidRange buying team, but shall follow the instructions prescribed in the local procedures for the source selection method.

[61 FR 55758, Oct. 29, 1996, as amended at 63 FR 9966, 9967, Feb. 27, 1998; 64 FR 19928, Apr. 23, 1999]

1871.401-5 Noncompetitive negotiations.

(a) Policy. (1) The RFO may be used as the solicitation method for noncompetitive procurements.

(2) MidRange procedures may be used in noncompetitive acquisitions to the extent they are applicable.

(b) Procedures.

(1) The buying team shall request pricing information in accordance with FAR 15.402 and 15.403.

(2) The technical member of the buying team shall provide technical assistance to the procurement member during evaluation and negotiation of the contractor's offer.

[61 FR 55758, Oct. 29, 1996, as amended at 64 FR 19928, Apr. 23, 1999]

1871.401-6 Commercial items.

(a) Policy. (1) MidRange procedures are considered consistent with the requirements of FAR part 12, Acquisition of Commercial Items. In the event of a conflict, however, FAR part 12 takes precedence.

(2) MidRange procedures may also be used, to the extent applicable, for commercial item acquisitions accomplished under FAR subpart 13.5, Text Program for Certain Commercial Items.

(3) Contract type shall be in accordance with FAR 12.207.

(b) Procedures. The offices will be evaluated in accordance with applicable procedures, and shall include consideration of technical, past performance, and price.

[61 FR 55758, Oct. 29, 1996, as amended at 62 FR 4477, Jan. 30, 1997; 63 FR 71604, Dec. 29, 1998]

1871.402 Preparation of the RFO.

(a) The RFO shall provide all standard information required for the offeror to submit an offer.

(b) The RFO shall contain space for all necessary additional instructions to offerors. As a minimum, the RFO shall contain the following:

(1) Incorporation by reference of all required standard provisions.

(2) A provision notifying offerors that standard Representations and Certifications will be required.

(3) Evaluation and award criteria.