

(5) A steering committee appointed by the appropriate Program AA shall review the proposed investigations for relevance and merit, will assure compliance with the system as described in this Handbook, and make selection recommendations.

(6) The Source Selection Official shall be the Program AA or the Program AA's designee.

(c) Payloads will be formulated consisting of investigations selected through the AO process and/or other authorized methods.

[62 FR 4477, Jan. 30, 1997, as amended at 64 FR 14641, Mar. 26, 1999]

1872.103 Management responsibilities.

(a) Program AAs are responsible for overseeing the process and for making key decisions essential to the process including:

(1) Determination to use the investigation acquisition system.

(2) Appointment of the steering committee members.

(3) Designation of a staff to assure uniformity in the issuance of the AO and conformity with the required procedures in the evaluation and selection.

(4) Reuse, to the maximum extent practicable, of space hardware and support equipment.

(5) Determination to use advisory subcommittees, contractor, or full-time Government employees only in the evaluation process.

(6) Issuance of the AO.

(7) Selection of investigations and investigators, determination of need of a definition phase, determination of the role of the investigator with regard to providing essential investigation hardware and services, and determination of the need for payload specialists.

(8) Assure consideration is given to minorities in the establishment of peer groups, distribution of the AO and in the selection of investigations.

(9) Provide a framework for cooperative foreign participation in Space Shuttle, Spacelab, and Space Station missions.

(b) The Program AA should call upon any required experts throughout the process.

Subpart 1872.2—Applicability of the Process

1872.201 General.

The system used for acquisition of investigations is separate from the agency procedures for acquisition of known requirements. A decision to use this special acquisition process will be based on a determination that it is the most suitable to meet program needs. The decision-making official will consider the criteria for use of the system. The project plan or other documentation should discuss the proposed mode of investigations selection.

1872.202 Criteria for determining applicability.

(a) The decision to use the investigations acquisition process as an alternative to the normal planning and acquisition process can only be made after consideration of the conditions which require its use. All of the following conditions should exist before deciding that the system is applicable:

(1) NASA has a general objective which can be furthered through novel experimental approaches. To develop such approaches, NASA wishes to draw upon the broadest possible reservoir of ideas.

(2) Choices must be made among competing ideas in expanding knowledge.

(3) Individual participation of an investigator is essential to exploitation of the opportunity.

(b) The investigations acquisition process shall not be used when any of the following characteristics are present:

(1) The requiring office can define a requirement sufficiently to allow for normal acquisition.

(2) The program is extremely complex, requiring specialized integration, coordination, or other special handling, or extending over a lengthy period wherein individual participation is not essential.

1872.203 Applicable programs and activities.

The investigation acquisition process is most suitable for investigations aimed at exploration requiring several unique sensors or instruments, but it

has been used successfully in the following types of activities:

(a) Exploration and space research flights. (1) Examples include Space Transportation System (STS) flights with attached payloads, generally Spacelab payloads; and free-flying spacecraft, such as Explorers, Pioneers, Space Telescope, Landsats, and Long Duration Exposure Facilities.

(2) Types of opportunity include:

(i) Participation as a Principal Investigator (PI) responsible for conceiving and conducting a space investigation (This may involve a major piece of instrumentation. In the case of a "facility" or "multiuser" payload, each PI's responsibilities would ordinarily involve a relatively minor portion of the total instrument.);

(ii) An opportunity to serve on a PI's team as a member or Co-Investigator;

(iii) An opportunity that generally involves the use of data from another investigator's instrument as a guest investigator or guest observer (Guest investigators usually participate after the primary objectives have been satisfied for the investigations involved.); and

(iv) A team formed from selected investigators to assist in defining planned mission objectives and/or to determine, in a general manner, the most meaningful instruments to accomplish the mission objectives.

(3) The investigation acquisition process may be applicable to all types of opportunities. The supposition common in these opportunities is that the best ideas and approaches are likely to result from the broadest possible involvement of the scientific, technological or applications user communities.

(b) Minor missions. (1) Examples include research aircraft, sounding rockets, balloons, and minor missions that are generally of short duration, small in size, often single purpose, and subject to repetition. Many investigations are follow-on to past-flight investigations.

(2) Types of opportunity include:

(i) PIs responsible for investigation; and

(ii) Data use or analysis.

(3) Opportunities for participation on minor missions are generally suitable

for normal acquisition procedures. The use of an announcement describing the general nature and schedule of flights may be appropriate when considered necessary to broaden participation by requesting investigator-initiated research proposals. Normal acquisition procedures shall be used for follow-on repeat flights. Although NASA seeks unique, innovative ideas for these missions, the prospect of reflight and the latitude in determining number and schedule of flights argue against the need for the use of the investigations acquisition process to force dissimilar proposals into an annual or periodic competitive structure. On the other hand, there are some minor missions addressed to specific limited opportunities; for example, a solar eclipse. When such limitations indicate that the special competitive structure is needed, it should be authorized.

(c) Operational and operational prototype spacecraft. (1) Examples include spacecraft built for NASA and other agencies' missions.

(2) The user agency can be expected to specify performance parameters. Payload definition will be the responsibility of the user agency and NASA. Specifications sufficient for normal acquisition procedures can be produced. Use of data from the mission is the responsibility of the user agency. Thus, the investigation acquisition process is not required.

(d) Supporting Research and Technology (SR&T). (1) Examples include studies, minor developments, instrument conceptualization, ground-based observations, laboratory and theoretical supporting research, and data reduction and analysis which is unconstrained by a specific opportunity.

(2) Programs in these areas tend to go forward on a continuing basis, rather than exploiting unique opportunities. Normal acquisition procedures should be used. A general announcement of area of interest could be made when greater participation is deemed advisable.

1872.204 Approval.

The Program AA is responsible for determining whether or not to use the investigations acquisition process. Normally on major projects, or when a