

paragraph (a) of this section. Exceptions for minor repairs must be clearly defined in a State's maintenance program.

(c) These guidelines shall be interpreted to expect that repairs and maintenance will be performed without unreasonable delay, that variations from the State's approved program will be allowed in situations involving emergency or unforeseeability, and that the State will seek to attain a high level of maintenance.

#### § 635.507 Implementation.

(a) Each State transportation department shall prepare an initial program submission which shall include a description of the State's Interstate maintenance program; a discussion of the method by which the State manages its program, including copies of operating documents; and a general description of the level of resources and activity the State intends to devote to attain the objectives stated under each of the critical elements in § 635.505(a). This initial submission shall be made to the FHWA no later than 120 days after the effective date of this subpart. The FHWA shall review each State's initial program submission for conformance with the provisions of this subpart and approve or disapprove the submission on the basis of that review.

(b) Within one year after the effective date of this subpart, and by January 1 of each subsequent year, each State transportation department shall certify to the FHWA that it has an Interstate maintenance program as required by this subpart and that its Interstate routes are being maintained in accordance with that program.

(c) Beginning in 1981 and each year thereafter, each State transportation department shall update its initial program submission by providing the FHWA with a discussion of:

(1) The condition of the State's Interstate routes and deficiencies,

(2) State maintenance priorities,

(3) The State maintenance budget, and

(4) Exceptions and/or revisions to the initial submission.

(d) The FHWA shall review each State's annual submission for conformance with the provisions of this sub-

part and monitor the implementation of each State's program in accordance with the review procedures described in the FHWA Maintenance Review Manual<sup>2</sup> and the Federal-Aid Highway Program Manual, volume 6, chapter 4, section 3, subsection 1.<sup>3</sup> If differences between the State and the FHWA cannot be resolved concerning the adequacy of the Interstate maintenance program's level of resources and activity, the FHWA shall initiate action under § 635.509.

#### § 635.509 Deficient or unsatisfactory maintenance.

(a) *Fund reduction.* If a State fails to certify as required by this subpart, or if the Secretary determines that a State is not adequately maintaining its Interstate routes in accordance with a maintenance program as required by this subpart, the Federal-aid highway funds apportioned to the State for the next fiscal year (after the date on which the State must certify) shall be reduced by an amount equal to 10 percent of the amount which would otherwise be apportioned to the State under 23 U.S.C. 104. In addition, future project approvals may be withheld by the Secretary under 23 U.S.C. 116.

(b) *Procedure for reduction of funds.* (1) If it appears to the Federal Highway Administrator that a State has not submitted a certification conforming to the requirements of this subpart, or that a State is not adequately maintaining its Interstate routes in accordance with a maintenance program as required by this subpart, the Administrator shall make in writing a proposed determination of nonconformity, and shall notify the Governor of the State of the proposed determination by certified mail. The notice shall state the reasons for the proposed determination and inform the State that it may within 30 days from the date of the letter request a hearing to show cause why it should not be found in nonconformity. If the State informs the Administrator before the end of the 30-day period that it wishes to attempt to resolve the matter informally, the Administrator may extend the time for requesting a

<sup>2, 3</sup> Available for inspection and copying as prescribed in 49 CFR part 7, appendix D.

hearing by an additional 30 days. In the event of a request for informal resolution, the State and the Administrator (or designees) shall promptly schedule a meeting to resolve the matter.

(2) If a State does not request a hearing in a timely fashion as provided in paragraph (b)(1) of this section, the Administrator shall forward the proposed determination to the Secretary. Upon approval by the Secretary, the provisions of paragraph (a) of this section shall take effect immediately.

(3) If a State requests a hearing, the Secretary shall expeditiously convene a hearing on the record, which shall be conducted according to the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 551 *et seq.* Based on the record of the proceeding, the Secretary shall determine whether the State is in nonconformity with this subpart. If the Secretary determines that the State is in nonconformity, the provisions of paragraph (a) of this section shall take effect immediately.

(4) The Secretary may reduce 10 percent of a State's apportionment of funds under 23 U.S.C. 104 prior to the administrative determination under this section in order to prevent the apportionment to the State of funds which would be affected by a determination of nonconformity.

(5) Funds withheld pursuant to a final administrative determination under this section shall be reapportioned to all other eligible States one year from the date of this determination, unless before this time the Secretary determines, on the basis of information submitted by the State and the FHWA, that the State has come into conformity with this section. If the Secretary determines that the State has come into conformity, the withheld funds shall be released to the State.

(6) The reapportionment of funds under paragraph (b)(5) of this section shall be stayed during the pendency of any proceeding for judicial review of a final administrative determination of nonconformity made by the Secretary.

## PART 636—DESIGN-BUILD CONTRACTING

### Subpart A—General

Sec.

- 636.101 What does this part do?
- 636.102 Does this part apply to me?
- 636.103 What are the definitions of terms used in this part?
- 636.104 Does this part apply to all Federal-aid design-build projects?
- 636.105 Is the FHWA requiring the use of design-build?
- 636.106 What type of projects may be used with design-build contracting?
- 636.107 Does the definition of a qualified project limit the use of design-build contracting?
- 636.108 How does the definition of a qualified project apply to ITS projects?
- 636.109 How does the NEPA review process relate to the design-build procurement process?
- 636.110 What procedures may be used for solicitations and receipt of proposals?
- 636.111 Can oral presentations be used during the procurement process?
- 636.112 May stipends be used?
- 636.113 Is the stipend amount eligible for Federal participation?
- 636.114 What factors should be considered in risk allocation?
- 636.115 May I meet with industry to gather information concerning the appropriate risk allocation strategies?
- 636.116 What organizational conflict of interest requirements apply to design-build projects?
- 636.117 What conflict of interest standards apply to individuals who serve as selection team members for the owner?
- 636.118 Is team switching allowed after contract award?
- 636.119 How does this part apply to a project developed under a public-private partnership?

### Subpart B—Selection Procedures, Award Criteria

- 636.201 What selection procedures and award criteria may be used?
- 636.202 When are two-phase design-build selection procedures appropriate?
- 636.203 What are the elements of two-phase selection procedures for competitive proposals?
- 636.204 What items may be included in a phase-one solicitation?
- 636.205 Can past performance be used as an evaluation criteria?