

Environmental Protection Agency

§ 52.1119

§§ 52.1111–52.1112 [Reserved]

§ 52.1113 [Reserved]

§§ 52.1114–52.1115 [Reserved]

§ 52.1116 Significant deterioration of air quality.

(a) The requirements of sections 160 through 165 of the Clean Air Act are not met, since the plan does not include approvable procedures for preventing the significant deterioration of air quality.

(b) The following provisions of 40 CFR 52.21 are hereby incorporated and made a part of the applicable State plan for the State of Maryland.

(1) Definition of federally enforceable (40 CFR 52.21(b)(17)),

(2) Exclusions from increment consumption (40 CFR 52.21(f)(1)(v), (3), (4)(i)),

(3) Redesignation of areas (40 CFR 52.21(g) (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), and (6)),

(4) Approval of alternate models (40 CFR 52.21(1)(2)),

(5) Disputed permits or redesignation (40 CFR 52.21(t), and

(6) Delegation of Authority (40 CFR 52.21(u)(1), (2)(ii), (3), and (4)).

[45 FR 52741, Aug. 7, 1980 and 47 FR 7835, Feb. 23, 1982]

§ 52.1117 Control strategy: Sulfur oxides.

(a) [Reserved]

(b) The requirements of § 51.112(a) of this chapter are not met because the State did not submit an adequate control strategy demonstration to show that the Maryland Regulation 10.03.36.04B (1) and (2) would not interfere with the attainment and maintenance of the national sulfur dioxide standards.

[40 FR 56889, Dec. 5, 1975, as amended at 41 FR 8770, Mar. 1, 1976; 41 FR 54747, Dec. 15, 1976; 51 FR 40676, Nov. 7, 1986]

§ 52.1118 Approval of bubbles in non-attainment areas lacking approved demonstrations: State assurances.

In order to secure approval of a bubble control strategy for the American Cyanamid facility in Havre de Grace, Maryland (see paragraph 52.1070(c)(87)), the Maryland Department of the Environment—Air Management Adminis-

tration provided certain assurances in a letter dated September 13, 1988 from George P. Ferreri, Director, to Thomas J. Maslany, Director, Air Management Division, EPA Region III. The State of Maryland assured EPA it would:

(a) Include the bubble emission limits for this plant in any new State Implementation Plan,

(b) Consider this plant with its approved bubble limits in reviewing sources for needed additional emission reductions, and

(c) Not be delayed in making reasonable efforts to provide the necessary schedules for completing the new ozone attainment plan.

[55 FR 20272, May 16, 1990]

Subpart W—Massachusetts

§ 52.1119 Identification of plan—conditional approval.

(a) The following plan revisions were submitted on the dates specified.

(1) On November 13, 1992, the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection submitted a small business stationary source technical and environmental compliance assistance program (PROGRAM). On July 22, 1993, Massachusetts submitted a letter clarifying portions of the November 13, 1992 submittal. In these submissions, the State commits to submit adequate legal authority to establish and implement a compliance advisory panel and to have a fully operational PROGRAM by November 15, 1994.

(i) Incorporation by reference.

(A) Letter from the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection dated November 13, 1992 submitting a revision to the Massachusetts State Implementation Plan.

(B) State Implementation Plan Revision for a Small Business Technical and Environmental Compliance Assistance Program dated November 13, 1992.

(ii) Additional materials.

(A) Letter from the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection dated July 22, 1993 clarifying portions of Massachusetts' November 13, 1992 SIP revision.