

Environmental Protection Agency

§ 52.1119

§§ 52.1111–52.1112 [Reserved]

§ 52.1113 [Reserved]

§§ 52.1114–52.1115 [Reserved]

§ 52.1116 Significant deterioration of air quality.

(a) The requirements of sections 160 through 165 of the Clean Air Act are not met, since the plan does not include approvable procedures for preventing the significant deterioration of air quality.

(b) The following provisions of 40 CFR 52.21 are hereby incorporated and made a part of the applicable State plan for the State of Maryland.

(1) Definition of federally enforceable (40 CFR 52.21(b)(17)),

(2) Exclusions from increment consumption (40 CFR 52.21(f)(1)(v), (3), (4)(i)),

(3) Redesignation of areas (40 CFR 52.21(g) (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), and (6)),

(4) Approval of alternate models (40 CFR 52.21(1)(2)),

(5) Disputed permits or redesignation (40 CFR 52.21(t), and

(6) Delegation of Authority (40 CFR 52.21(u)(1), (2)(ii), (3), and (4)).

[45 FR 52741, Aug. 7, 1980 and 47 FR 7835, Feb. 23, 1982]

§ 52.1117 Control strategy: Sulfur oxides.

(a) [Reserved]

(b) The requirements of § 51.112(a) of this chapter are not met because the State did not submit an adequate control strategy demonstration to show that the Maryland Regulation 10.03.36.04B (1) and (2) would not interfere with the attainment and maintenance of the national sulfur dioxide standards.

[40 FR 56889, Dec. 5, 1975, as amended at 41 FR 8770, Mar. 1, 1976; 41 FR 54747, Dec. 15, 1976; 51 FR 40676, Nov. 7, 1986]

§ 52.1118 Approval of bubbles in non-attainment areas lacking approved demonstrations: State assurances.

In order to secure approval of a bubble control strategy for the American Cyanamid facility in Havre de Grace, Maryland (see paragraph 52.1070(c)(87)), the Maryland Department of the Environment—Air Management Adminis-

tration provided certain assurances in a letter dated September 13, 1988 from George P. Ferreri, Director, to Thomas J. Maslany, Director, Air Management Division, EPA Region III. The State of Maryland assured EPA it would:

(a) Include the bubble emission limits for this plant in any new State Implementation Plan,

(b) Consider this plant with its approved bubble limits in reviewing sources for needed additional emission reductions, and

(c) Not be delayed in making reasonable efforts to provide the necessary schedules for completing the new ozone attainment plan.

[55 FR 20272, May 16, 1990]

Subpart W—Massachusetts

§ 52.1119 Identification of plan—conditional approval.

(a) The following plan revisions were submitted on the dates specified.

(1) On November 13, 1992, the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection submitted a small business stationary source technical and environmental compliance assistance program (PROGRAM). On July 22, 1993, Massachusetts submitted a letter clarifying portions of the November 13, 1992 submittal. In these submissions, the State commits to submit adequate legal authority to establish and implement a compliance advisory panel and to have a fully operational PROGRAM by November 15, 1994.

(i) Incorporation by reference.

(A) Letter from the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection dated November 13, 1992 submitting a revision to the Massachusetts State Implementation Plan.

(B) State Implementation Plan Revision for a Small Business Technical and Environmental Compliance Assistance Program dated November 13, 1992.

(ii) Additional materials.

(A) Letter from the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection dated July 22, 1993 clarifying portions of Massachusetts' November 13, 1992 SIP revision.

§ 52.1120

40 CFR Ch. I (7-1-04 Edition)

(2) [Reserved]

[59 FR 41708, Aug. 15, 1994, as amended at 61 FR 43976, Aug. 27, 1996; 65 FR 19326, Apr. 11, 2000]

§ 52.1120 Identification of plan.

(a) Title of plan: "Plan for Implementation, Maintenance, and Enforcement of National Primary and Secondary Ambient Air Quality Standards."

(b) The plan was officially submitted on January 27, 1972.

(c) The plan revisions listed below were submitted on the dates specified.

(1) Emergency episode regulations submitted on February 22, 1972, by the Bureau of Air Quality Control, Massachusetts Department of Public Health.

(2) Miscellaneous non-regulatory changes to the plan, wording changes in regulations 2.5 and 2.1 and clarification of Regulations 2.5.1 through 2.5.4 submitted on April 27, 1972, by the Division of Environmental Health, Massachusetts Department of Public Health.

(3) Miscellaneous non-regulatory additions to the plan submitted on May 5, 1972, by the Bureau of Air Quality Control, Massachusetts Department of Public Health.

(4) Miscellaneous changes affecting regulations 2.1, 2.5, 4.2, 4.5.1, 5.6.1, 6.1.2, 6.3.1, 8.1.6, 9.1, 15.1, 51.2, 52.1 and 52.2 of the regulations for all six Air Pollution Control Districts submitted on August 28, 1972 by the Governor.

(5) Letter of concurrence on AQMA identifications submitted on July 23, 1974, by the Governor.

(6) Revision to Regulation No. 5, increasing allowable sulfur content of fuels in the Boston Air Pollution Control District submitted on July 11, 1975, by the Secretary of Environmental Affairs, and on April 1, 1977 and April 20, 1978 by the Commissioner of the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Quality Engineering.

(7) Revision to Regulation 50—Variances, Regulations for Control of Air Pollution in the six Massachusetts Air Pollution Control Districts, submitted by letter dated November 14, 1974, by the Governor.

(8) Regulation 5.1, Sulfur Content of Fuels and Control Thereof, for the Merrimack Valley Air Pollution Control District submitted on January 28,

1976 by the Secretary of Environmental Affairs and on August 22, 1977 by the Commissioner of the Department of Environmental Quality Engineering, and additional technical information pertinent to the Haverhill Paperboard Corp., Haverhill, Mass., submitted on December 30, 1976 by the Secretary of Environmental Affairs.

(9) Regulation 5.1, Sulfur Content of Fuels and Control Thereof, for the Pioneer Valley Air Pollution Control District submitted on July 22, 1976 by the Secretary of Environmental Affairs and on August 22, 1977 by the Commissioner of the Department of Environmental Quality Engineering, and additional technical information pertinent to Deerfield Specialty Papers, Inc., Monroe, Mass., submitted on December 27, 1977 by the Commissioner of the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Quality Engineering.

(10) Regulation 5.1, Sulfur Content of Fuels and Control Thereof, for the Central Massachusetts Air Pollution Control District submitted on June 25, 1976 by the Secretary of Environmental Affairs and on August 22, 1977 by the Commissioner of the Department of Environmental Quality Engineering.

(11) Regulation 5.1, Sulfur Content of Fuels and Control Thereof, for the Central Massachusetts Air Pollution Control District (revised and adopted by the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Quality Engineering on March 29, 1976, with specific provisions for the City of Fitchburg) submitted on June 25, 1976, by the Secretary of Environmental Affairs.

(12) A revision to Regulation 5.1, Sulfur Content of Fuels and Control Thereof, for the Southeastern Massachusetts Air Pollution Control District, submitted on December 30, 1976 by the Secretary of Environmental Affairs and on January 31, 1978 by the Commissioner of the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Quality Engineering.

(13) A revision to Regulation 5.1, Sulfur Content of Fuels and Control Thereof, for the Berkshire Air Pollution Control District, submitted by the Commissioner of the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Quality Engineering on April 14, 1977, and additional technical information submitted