

systems considered. If a system that is already in place in the State could be enhanced to meet the requirements for a computerized support enforcement system, that system must be among the solutions considered;

(12) The APD must contain a cost benefit analysis of the proposed computerized support enforcement system and all alternatives considered that describes the proposed improvements to the IV-D program in both qualitative and quantitative terms;

(13) The APD must specify the basis for determining direct and indirect costs of the computerized support enforcement system during development and operation, including the methodology for determining costs of planning, design, development, installation or enhancement that are eligible for 90 percent Federal funding versus costs of development and operations that are eligible for Federal funding at the applicable matching rate;

(14) The APD must contain a statement indicating the period of time the State expects to use the proposed computerized support enforcement system; and

(15) The APD must include any waiver requested in accordance with §307.5 of this chapter.

(c) *Conditions for approval of annual update.* The APD for a computerized support enforcement system described under §307.10, or §307.11 must be updated annually. In order to be approvable, the annual update of an APD for a computerized support enforcement system described under §307.10 must meet only those requirements of paragraph (b) of this section that are prescribed by instructions issued by the Office.

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 0960-0343)

[49 FR 33260, Aug. 22, 1984, as amended at 51 FR 37732, Oct. 24, 1986; 55 FR 4379, Feb. 7, 1990; 57 FR 47004, Oct. 14, 1992; 61 FR 67241, Dec. 20, 1996; 63 FR 44816, Aug. 21, 1998]

**§ 307.20 Submittal of advance planning documents for computerized support enforcement systems.**

The State IV-D agency must submit an APD for a computerized support enforcement system, approved and signed by the State IV-D Director and the ap-

propriate State official, in accordance with the submission process prescribed in 45 CFR part 95, subpart F.

[55 FR 4379, Feb. 7, 1990, as amended at 57 FR 47005, Oct. 14, 1992]

**§ 307.25 Review and certification of computerized support enforcement systems.**

The Office will review, assess and inspect the planning, design, development, installation, enhancement and operation of computerized support enforcement systems developed under §307.10, or §307.11 to determine the extent to which such systems:

(a) Meet the requirements found in §307.15; and

(b) Can be certified as meeting the requirements described in §307.10 and in the OCSE guideline entitled "Automated Systems for Child Support Enforcement: A Guide for States".

[57 FR 47005, Oct. 14, 1992, as amended at 63 FR 44817, Aug. 21, 1998]

**§ 307.30 Federal financial participation at the 90 percent rate for state-wide computerized support enforcement systems.**

(a) *Conditions that must be met for FFP.* During the Federal fiscal years 1996, and 1997, Federal financial participation is available at the 90 percent rate in expenditures for the planning, design, development, installation or enhancement of a computerized support enforcement system as described in §§307.5 and 307.10 limited to the amount in an advance planning document, or APDU submitted on or before September 30, 1995, and approved by OCSE if:

(1) The Office has approved an APD in accordance with §307.15 of this part;

(2) The system meets the requirements specified in §307.10;

(3) The Office determines that the expenditures incurred are consistent with the approved APD;

(4) The Office determines that the computerized support enforcement system or alternative system configuration is designed effectively and efficiently and will improve the management and administration of the State IV-D plan;

(5) The State IV-D agency agrees in writing to use the system for a period