

103-354 is available to assist and provide the name and address of an organization that is under contract with FmHA or its successor agency under Public Law 103-354 to assist them. The State Director will forward a copy of exhibit B, District Directors comments, and the reasons for classifying them as "High Risk" to the National Office, Single Family Housing, Special Programs Branch. When the period of time provided for corrective action has expired, an assessment will be made of the progress by the grantee toward correcting the situation. If the State Director determines:

(i) The situation has been corrected or reasonable progress has been made toward correcting the situation, the "High Risk" status will be lifted and the grantee so notified.

(ii) The situation has not been corrected but it is correctable if additional time is granted, an extension will be issued.

(iii) The situation has not been corrected and it is unlikely to be corrected if given additional time, the grant will be terminated under § 1944.426(b)(1) of this subpart.

[55 FR 41833, Oct. 16, 1990; 56 FR 19253, Apr. 26, 1991]

**§ 1944.418 [Reserved]**

**§ 1944.419 Final grantee evaluation.**

Near the end of the grant period but prior to the last month, an evaluation of the grantee will be conducted by FmHA or its successor agency under Public Law 103-354. The State Director may use FmHA or its successor agency under Public Law 103-354 employees or an organization under contract to FmHA or its successor agency under Public Law 103-354 to provide the evaluation. The evaluation is to determine how successful the grantee was in meeting goals and objectives as defined in the agreement, application, this regulation, and any amendments.

(a) This is a quantitative evaluation of the grantee to determine if it met its goals in:

(1) Assisting the project number of families in obtaining adequate housing.

(2) Meeting the goal of assisting very low-income families.

(3) Meeting the family labor requirement in § 1944.411(h) and exhibit B-2 of this subpart.

(4) Keeping costs within the guides set in § 1944.407.

(5) Meeting order objectives in the Agreement.

(b) The evaluation is a narrative addressed to the State Director with a copy of the National Office, Single Family Housing Processing Division. It will be in 3 parts, namely; findings, recommendations, and an overall rating. The rating will be either unacceptable, acceptable, or outstanding, as follows:

(1) Outstanding if the grantee met or exceeded all of the goals in paragraph (a) of this section.

(2) Acceptable if the grantee met or exceeded all of the goals as defined in paragraph (a) except two.

(3) Unacceptable if the grantee failed to obtain an acceptable rating.

(c) After the State Director has reviewed the evaluation, a copy will be mailed to the grantee. The grantee may request a review of the evaluation with the District Director. This review is for clarification of the material and to dispute the findings if they are known to be wrong. The rating is not open for discussion except to the extent it can be proven that the findings do not support the rating. If this is the case, the District Director will file an amendment to the State Director.

**§ 1944.420 Extension or revision of the grant agreement.**

The State Director may authorize the District Director to execute on behalf of the Government, exhibit C of this subpart, at any time during the grant period provided:

(a) The extension period is for no more than one year from the final date of the existing Agreement.

(b) The need for the extension is clearly justified.

(c) If additional funds are needed, a revised budget is submitted with complete justification, and

(d) The grantee is within the guidelines in § 1944.407 of this subpart or the State Director determines that the best interest of the Government will be served by the extension.