

§ 3001.194

(j) *Rejection of requests.* The Commission may reject any request under this subpart that patently fails to substantially comply with any requirements of this subpart.

§ 3001.194 Failure to comply.

If the Postal Service fails to provide any information specified by this subpart, or otherwise required by the presiding officer or the Commission, the Commission, upon its own motion, or upon motion of any participant to the proceeding, may stay the proceeding until satisfactory compliance is achieved. The Commission will stay proceedings only if it finds that failure to supply adequate information interferes with the Commission's ability promptly to consider the request and to conduct its proceedings with expedition in accordance with the Act.

§ 3001.195 Requests to recommend a baseline Negotiated Service Agreement.

(a) This section governs Postal Service requests for a recommended decision in regard to a baseline Negotiated Service Agreement, *i.e.*, a Negotiated Service Agreement that is not predicated on a functionally equivalent Negotiated Service Agreement currently in effect. The purpose of this section is to establish procedures which provide for maximum expedition of review consistent with procedural fairness, and which allows for the recommendation of a baseline Negotiated Service Agreement. The Postal Service request shall include:

(1) A written justification for requesting a Negotiated Service Agreement classification as opposed to a more generally applicable form of classification; and

(2) A description of the operational bases of the Negotiated Service Agreement, including activities to be performed and facilities to be used by both the Postal Service and the mailer under the agreement.

(b) The Commission will treat requests predicated on a baseline Negotiated Service Agreement as subject to the maximum expedition consistent with procedural fairness. A schedule will be established, in each case, to allow for prompt issuance of a decision.

39 CFR Ch. III (7-1-07 Edition)

§ 3001.196 Requests to recommend a Negotiated Service Agreement that is functionally equivalent to a previously recommended Negotiated Service Agreement.

(a) This section governs Postal Service requests for a recommended decision in regard to a Negotiated Service Agreement that is proffered as functionally equivalent to a Negotiated Service Agreement previously recommended by the Commission and currently in effect. The previously recommended Negotiated Service Agreement shall be referred to as the baseline agreement. The purpose of this section is to establish procedures that provide for accelerated review of functionally equivalent Negotiated Service Agreements. The Postal Service request shall include:

(1) A detailed description of how the proposed Negotiated Service Agreement is functionally equivalent to the baseline agreement;

(2) A detailed description of how the proposed Negotiated Service Agreement is different from the baseline agreement;

(3) Identification of the record testimony from the baseline agreement docket, or any other previously concluded docket, on which the Postal Service proposes to rely, including specific citation to the locations of such testimony;

(4) All available special studies developing information pertinent to the proposed Negotiated Service Agreement;

(5) If applicable, the identification of circumstances unique to the request; and

(6) If applicable, a proposal for limitation of issues in the proceeding, except that the following issues will be relevant to every request predicated on a functionally equivalent Negotiated Service Agreement:

(i) The financial impact of the Negotiated Service Agreement on the Postal Service over the duration of the agreement;

(ii) The fairness and equity of the Negotiated Service Agreement in regard to other users of the mail; and

(iii) The fairness and equity of the Negotiated Service Agreement in regard to the competitors of the parties to the Negotiated Service Agreement.