

(i) Cap or plug the end of the sample probe.

(ii) Disconnect the transfer line at the probe and cap or plug the transfer line.

(iii) Close a leak-tight valve in-line between a probe and transfer line.

(2) Operate all vacuum pumps. After stabilizing, verify that the flow through the vacuum-side of the sampling system is less than 0.5 % of the system's normal in-use flow rate. You may estimate typical analyzer and bypass flows as an approximation of the system's normal in-use flow rate.

(d) *Dilution-of-span-gas leak test.* Test any analyzer, other than a FID, for dilution of span gas as follows, noting that this configuration requires an overflow span gas system:

(1) Prepare a gas analyzer as you would for emission testing.

(2) Supply span gas to the analyzer port and verify that it measures the span gas concentration within its expected measurement accuracy and repeatability.

(3) Route overflow span gas to one of the following locations in the sampling system:

(i) The end of the sample probe.

(ii) Disconnect the transfer line at the probe connection, and overflow the span gas at the open end of the transfer line.

(iii) A three-way valve installed in-line between a probe and its transfer line, such as a system overflow zero and span port.

(4) Verify that the measured overflow span gas concentration is within the measurement accuracy and repeatability of the analyzer. A measured value lower than expected indicates a leak, but a value higher than expected may indicate a problem with the span gas or the analyzer itself. A measured value higher than expected does not indicate a leak.

#### CO AND CO<sub>2</sub> MEASUREMENTS

#### § 1065.350 H<sub>2</sub>O interference verification for CO<sub>2</sub> NDIR analyzers.

(a) *Scope and frequency.* If you measure CO<sub>2</sub> using an NDIR analyzer, verify the amount of H<sub>2</sub>O interference after initial analyzer installation and after major maintenance.

(b) *Measurement principles.* H<sub>2</sub>O can interfere with an NDIR analyzer's response to CO<sub>2</sub>.

If the NDIR analyzer uses compensation algorithms that utilize measurements of other gases to meet this interference verification, simultaneously conduct these other measurements to test the compensation algorithms during the analyzer interference verification.

(c) *System requirements.* A CO<sub>2</sub> NDIR analyzer must have an H<sub>2</sub>O interference that is within ±2% of the flow-weighted mean CO<sub>2</sub> concentration expected at the standard, though we strongly recommend a lower interference that is within ±1%.

(d) *Procedure.* Perform the interference verification as follows:

(1) Start, operate, zero, and span the CO<sub>2</sub> NDIR analyzer as you would before an emission test.

(2) Create a water-saturated test gas by bubbling zero air that meets the specifications in § 1065.750 through distilled water in a sealed vessel at (25 ±10) °C.

(3) Introduce the water-saturated test gas upstream of any sample dryer, if one is used during testing.

(4) Allow time for the analyzer response to stabilize. Stabilization time may include time to purge the transfer line and to account for analyzer response.

(5) While the analyzer measures the sample's concentration, record 30 seconds of sampled data. Calculate the arithmetic mean of this data. The analyzer meets the interference verification if this value is within ±2% of the flow-weighted mean concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> expected at the standard.

(e) *Exceptions.* The following exceptions apply:

(1) You may omit this verification if you can show by engineering analysis that for your CO<sub>2</sub> sampling system and your emission-calculation procedures, the H<sub>2</sub>O interference for your CO<sub>2</sub> NDIR analyzer always affects your brake-specific emission results within ±0.5% of each of the applicable standards.

(2) You may use a CO<sub>2</sub> NDIR analyzer that you determine does not meet this verification, as long as you try to correct the problem and the measurement deficiency does not adversely affect

your ability to show that engines comply with all applicable emission standards.

**§ 1065.355 H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> interference verification for CO NDIR analyzers.**

(a) *Scope and frequency.* If you measure CO using an NDIR analyzer, verify the amount of H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> interference after initial analyzer installation and after major maintenance.

(b) *Measurement principles.* H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> can positively interfere with an NDIR analyzer by causing a response similar to CO. If the NDIR analyzer uses compensation algorithms that utilize measurements of other gases to meet this interference verification, simultaneously conduct these other measurements to test the compensation algorithms during the analyzer interference verification.

(c) *System requirements.* A CO NDIR analyzer must have combined H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> interference that is within  $\pm 2\%$  of the flow-weighted mean concentration of CO expected at the standard, though we strongly recommend a lower interference that is within  $\pm 1\%$ .

(d) *Procedure.* Perform the interference verification as follows:

(1) Start, operate, zero, and span the CO NDIR analyzer as you would before an emission test.

(2) Create a water-saturated CO<sub>2</sub> test gas by bubbling a CO<sub>2</sub> span gas through distilled water in a sealed vessel at (25  $\pm$  10) °C.

(3) Introduce the water-saturated CO<sub>2</sub> test gas upstream of any sample dryer, if one is used during testing.

(4) Allow time for the analyzer response to stabilize. Stabilization time may include time to purge the transfer line and to account for analyzer response.

(5) While the analyzer measures the sample's concentration, record its output for 30 seconds. Calculate the arithmetic mean of this data.

(6) Multiply this mean value by the ratio of expected CO<sub>2</sub> to span gas CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. In other words, estimate the flow-weighted mean dry concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> expected during testing, and then divide this value by the concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> in the span gas used for this verification. Then mul-

tiple this ratio by the mean value recorded during this verification.

(7) The analyzer meets the interference verification if the result of paragraph (d)(6) of this section is within  $\pm 2\%$  of the flow-weighted mean concentration of CO expected at the standard.

(e) *Exceptions.* The following exceptions apply:

(1) You may omit this verification if you can show by engineering analysis that for your CO sampling system and your emission calculations procedures, the combined CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O interference for your CO NDIR analyzer always affects your brake-specific CO emission results within  $\pm 0.5\%$  of the applicable CO standard.

(2) You may use a CO NDIR analyzer that you determine does not meet this verification, as long as you try to correct the problem and the measurement deficiency does not adversely affect your ability to show that engines comply with all applicable emission standards.

HYDROCARBON MEASUREMENTS

**§ 1065.360 FID optimization and verification.**

(a) *Scope and frequency.* For all FID analyzers perform the following steps:

(1) Calibrate a FID upon initial installation. Repeat the calibration as needed using good engineering judgment.

(2) Optimize a FID's response to various hydrocarbons after initial analyzer installation and after major maintenance.

(3) Determine a FID's methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) response factor after initial analyzer installation and after major maintenance.

(4) Verify methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) response within 185 days before testing.

(b) *Calibration.* Use good engineering judgment to develop a calibration procedure, such as one based on the FID-analyzer manufacturer's instructions and recommended frequency for calibrating the FID. Alternately, you may remove system components for off-site calibration. Calibrate using C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>8</sub> calibration gases that meet the specifications of § 1065.750. We recommend FID