

§ 1944.418

7 CFR Ch. XVIII (1-1-08 Edition)

exhibit B is received in the District Office, a meeting should be scheduled between the grantee, District Director, and the County supervisor since this is an opportune time for both the grantee and FmHA or its successor agency under Public Law 103-354 to review progress to date and make necessary adjustments for the future. This meeting is required if the grantee was previously identified as a problem grantee or will be identified as a problem grantee at this time. Regardless of whether a meeting will be held, the following will be done:

(1) Exhibit B and other information will be evaluated to determine progress made to date. The District Director will comment on exhibit B as to whether the grantee is ahead or behind schedule in each of the following areas:

(i) *Assisting the projected number of families.*

(ii) *Serving very low-income applicants.* Is the grantee reaching a minimum of very low-income families as required in exhibit A, attachment 2 to subpart L of part 1940 of this chapter (available in any FmHA or its successor agency under Public Law 103-354 office).

(iii) *Equivalent units (EUs).* Is the number of EUs completed representative of lapse in time of the grant? For example, if 25 percent of the grant period has elapsed, are 25 percent of the number of EUs completed?

(iv) *Labor contributions by the family.* Are the families working together and are they completing the labor tasks as established on exhibit B-2?

(2) The District Director will submit exhibit B to the State Director who will evaluate the quarterly report along with the District Director's comments. If the State Director determines the grantee is progressing satisfactorily, the State Director will sign and forward exhibit B to the National Office. However, if the State Director determines the grantee is not performing as expected, the State Director will notify the grantee that it has been classified a "High Risk" grantee. The notice will specify the deficiencies and inform the grantee of proposed remedies for noncompliance. The notice will advise the grantee that FmHA or its successor agency under Public Law 103-354 is available to assist and pro-

vide the name and address of an organization that is under contract with FmHA or its successor agency under Public Law 103-354 to assist them. The State Director will forward a copy of exhibit B, District Directors comments, and the reasons for classifying them as "High Risk" to the National Office, Single Family Housing, Special Programs Branch. When the period of time provided for corrective action has expired, an assessment will be made of the progress by the grantee toward correcting the situation. If the State Director determines:

(i) The situation has been corrected or reasonable progress has been made toward correcting the situation, the "High Risk" status will be lifted and the grantee so notified.

(ii) The situation has not been corrected but it is correctable if additional time is granted, an extension will be issued.

(iii) The situation has not been corrected and it is unlikely to be corrected if given additional time, the grant will be terminated under § 1944.426(b)(1) of this subpart.

[55 FR 41833, Oct. 16, 1990; 56 FR 19253, Apr. 26, 1991]

§ 1944.418 [Reserved]

§ 1944.419 Final grantee evaluation.

Near the end of the grant period but prior to the last month, an evaluation of the grantee will be conducted by FmHA or its successor agency under Public Law 103-354. The State Director may use FmHA or its successor agency under Public Law 103-354 employees or an organization under contract to FmHA or its successor agency under Public Law 103-354 to provide the evaluation. The evaluation is to determine how successful the grantee was in meeting goals and objectives as defined in the agreement, application, this regulation, and any amendments.

(a) This is a quantitative evaluation of the grantee to determine if it met its goals in:

(1) Assisting the project number of families in obtaining adequate housing.

(2) Meeting the goal of assisting very low-income families.

(3) Meeting the family labor requirement in §1944.411(h) and exhibit B-2 of this subpart.

(4) Keeping costs within the guides set in §1944.407.

(5) Meeting order objectives in the Agreement.

(b) The evaluation is a narrative addressed to the State Director with a copy of the National Office, Single Family Housing Processing Division. It will be in 3 parts, namely; findings, recommendations, and an overall rating. The rating will be either unacceptable, acceptable, or outstanding, as follows:

(1) Outstanding if the grantee met or exceeded all of the goals in paragraph (a) of this section.

(2) Acceptable if the grantee met or exceeded all of the goals as defined in paragraph (a) except two.

(3) Unacceptable if the grantee failed to obtain an acceptable rating.

(c) After the State Director has reviewed the evaluation, a copy will be mailed to the grantee. The grantee may request a review of the evaluation with the District Director. This review is for clarification of the material and to dispute the findings if they are known to be wrong. The rating is not open for discussion except to the extent it can be proven that the findings do not support the rating. If this is the case, the District Director will file an amendment to the State Director.

§ 1944.420 Extension or revision of the grant agreement.

The State Director may authorize the District Director to execute on behalf of the Government, exhibit C of this subpart, at any time during the grant period provided:

(a) The extension period is for no more than one year from the final date of the existing Agreement.

(b) The need for the extension is clearly justified.

(c) If additional funds are needed, a revised budget is submitted with complete justification, and

(d) The grantee is within the guidelines in §1944.407 of this subpart or the State Director determines that the best interest of the Government will be served by the extension.

§ 1944.421 Refunding of an existing grantee.

Grantees wishing to continue with self-help efforts after the end of the current grant plus any extensions should file Form SF-424, in accordance with §1944.410(e). It is recommended that it be filed at least 6 months before the end of the current grant period. Funds from the existing grant may be used to meet the conditions of a new grant to serve the same or redefined geographic area. If the grantee is targeting a different geographic area, a new preapplication must be submitted in accordance with §1944.410 and the grantee may apply for a predevelopment grant in accordance with §1944.410(d). In addition to meeting the conditions of an applicant as defined in §1944.411 of this subpart, the grantee must also have received or will receive an acceptable rating on its current grant unless an exception is granted by the State Director. The State Director may grant an exception to the rating if it is determined that the reasons causing the previous unacceptable rating have been removed or will be removed with the approval of this grant.

§ 1944.422 Audit and other report requirements.

The grantee must submit an audit to the appropriate FmHA or its successor agency under Public Law 103-354 District Office annually (or biennially if a State or local government with authority to do a less frequent audit requests it) and within 90 days of the end of the grantee's fiscal year, grant period, or termination of the grant. The audit, conducted by the grantee's auditors, is to be performed in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS), using the publication "Standards for Audit of Governmental Organizations, Programs, Activities and Functions" developed by the Comptroller General of the United States in 1981, and any subsequent revisions. In addition, the audits are also to be performed in accordance with 7 CFR parts 3015 and 3016 and FmHA or its successor agency under Public Law 103-354 requirements as specified in this subpart. Audits of borrower loan funds will be required. The number of