

§ 52.2171

40 CFR Ch. I (7–1–08 Edition)

Name of nonregulatory SIP provision	Applicable geographic or non-attainment area	State submittal date/ adopted date	EPA approval date and citation ⁵	Explanations
IX. Commitment regarding permit exceedences of the PM ₁₀ standard in Rapid City.	Rapid City	Submitted: 7/19/95 ..	6/10/02, 67 FR 39619.	

⁵In order to determine the EPA effective date for a specific provision that is listed in this table, consult the **Federal Register** cited in this column for that particular provision.

[70 FR 11128, Mar. 8, 2005, as amended at 71 FR 46407, Aug. 14, 2006; 72 FR 57868, Oct. 11, 2007; 72 FR 72621, Dec. 21, 2007]

EFFECTIVE DATE NOTE: At 73 FR 26024, May 8, 2008, § 52.2170 was amended by adding entry “X” in numerical order, effective July 7, 2008. For the convenience of the user, the added text is set forth as follows:

§ 52.2170 Identification of plan.

* * * * *

(e) *EPA-approved nonregulatory provisions.*

Name of nonregulatory SIP provision	Applicable geographic or non-attainment area	State submittal date/Adopted date	EPA approval date and citation	Explanations
* * * * * X. Interstate Transport. South Dakota Interstate Transport Report satisfying the requirement of Section 110(a)(2)(D)(i) of the CAA for the 1997 8-hour ozone and PM _{2.5} standards.	Statewide	Submitted: 5/15/07 Adopted: 4/19/07	5/08/08, 73 FR 26019.	*

§ 52.2171 Classification of regions.

The South Dakota plan evaluated on the basis of the following classifications:

Air quality control region	Pollutant				
	Particulate matter	Sulfur oxides	Nitrogen dioxide	Carbon monoxide	Photochemical oxidants (hydrocarbons)
Metropolitan Sioux City Interstate	III	III	III	III	III
Metropolitan Sioux Falls Interstate	II	III	III	III	III
Black Hills—Rapid City Intrastate	III	III	III	III	III
South Dakota Intrastate	III	III	III	III	III

[37 FR 10893, May 31, 1972]

§ 52.2172 Approval status.

With the exceptions set forth in this subpart, the Administrator approves South Dakota’s plan as meeting the requirements of section 110 of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1977. Furthermore, the Administrator finds that the plan satisfies all requirements of Part

D of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1977.

[46 FR 54542, Nov. 3, 1981]

§ 52.2173 Legal authority.

(a) The requirements of § 51.230(f) of this chapter are not met since the South Dakota Compiled Law 34–16A–21 provides that data which relates to

Environmental Protection Agency

§ 52.2183

processes or production unique to the owner or which tend to adversely affect a competitive position of the owner shall be held confidential.

(b) Delegation of authority: Pursuant to section 114 of the Act, South Dakota requested a delegation of authority to enable it to collect, correlate and re-release emission data to the public. The Administrator has determined that South Dakota is qualified to receive a delegation of the authority it requested. Accordingly, the Administrator delegates to South Dakota his authority under sections 114(a) (1) and (2) and section 114(c) of the Act, i.e., authority to collect, correlate, and re-release emission data to the public.

[37 FR 15089, July 27, 1972, as amended at 51 FR 40676, Nov. 7, 1986]

§§ 52.2174–52.2177 [Reserved]

§ 52.2178 Significant deterioration of air quality.

(a) The South Dakota plan, as submitted, is approved as meeting the requirements of part C, subpart 1 of the CAA, except that it does not apply to sources proposing to construct on Indian reservations;

(b) Regulations for preventing significant deterioration of air quality. The provisions of § 52.21 except paragraph (a)(1) are hereby incorporated and made a part of the South Dakota State implementation plan and are applicable to proposed major stationary sources or major modifications to be located on Indian reservations.

[43 FR 26410, June 19, 1978, as amended at 45 FR 52741, Aug. 7, 1980; 59 FR 47261, Sept. 15, 1994; 68 FR 11324, Mar. 10, 2003; 68 FR 74490, Dec. 24, 2003; 72 FR 72621, Dec. 21, 2007]

§ 52.2179 Visibility protection.

(a) The requirements of section 169A of the Clean Air Act are not met, because the plan does not include approvable procedures for protection of visibility in mandatory Class I Federal areas.

(b) Regulation for visibility monitoring and new source review. The provisions of §§ 52.26 and 52.28 are hereby incorporated and made a part of the applicable plan for the State of South Dakota.

(c) *Long-term strategy.* The provisions of § 52.29 are hereby incorporated and made part of the applicable plan for the State of South Dakota.

[50 FR 28553, July 12, 1985, as amended at 52 FR 45137, Nov. 24, 1987]

§ 52.2180 Stack height regulations.

The State of South Dakota has committed to revise its stack height regulations should EPA complete rule-making to respond to the decision in *NRDC v. Thomas*, 838 F.2d 1224 (DC Cir. 1988). In a letter to Douglas M. Skie, EPA, dated May 11, 1988, Joel C. Smith, Administrator, Office of Air Quality and Solid Waste, stated:

“* * * We are submitting this letter to allow EPA to continue to process our current SIP submittal with the understanding that if EPA’s response to the NRDC remand modifies the July 8, 1985 regulations, EPA will notify the State of the rules that must be changed to comport with the EPA’s modified requirements. The State of South Dakota agrees to make the appropriate changes.”

[53 FR 34079, Sept. 2, 1988]

§ 52.2181 [Reserved]

§ 52.2182 PM₁₀ Committal SIP.

On July 12 1988, the State submitted a Committal SIP for the Rapid City Group II PM₁₀ area, as required by the PM₁₀ implementation policy. The SIP commits the State to continue to monitor for PM₁₀ and to submit a full SIP if a violation of the PM₁₀ National Ambient Air Quality Standards is detected. It also commits the State to make several revisions related to PM₁₀ to the existing SIP.

[55 FR 40834, Oct. 5, 1990]

§ 52.2183 Variance provision.

The revisions to the variance provisions in Chapter 74:26:01:31.01 of the South Dakota Air Pollution Control Program, which were submitted by the Governor’s designee on September 25, 1991, are disapproved because they are inconsistent with section 110(i) of the Clean Air Act, which prohibits any state or EPA from granting a variance from any requirement of an applicable