

Environmental Protection Agency

§ 52.2528

must be completed by the final compliance date in the applicable regulation. Ten days prior to such a test, notice must be given to the Administrator to afford him the opportunity to have an observer present.

(9) (i) None of the above paragraphs shall apply to a source which is presently in compliance with applicable regulations and which has certified such compliance to the Administrator by October 1, 1973. The Administrator may request whatever supporting information he considers necessary for proper certification.

(ii) Any compliance schedule adopted by the State and approved by the Administrator shall satisfy the requirements of this paragraph for the affected source.

(iii) Any owner or operator subject to a compliance schedule in this paragraph may submit to the Administrator no later than October 1, 1973, a proposed alternative compliance schedule. No such compliance schedule may provide for final compliance after the final compliance date in the applicable compliance schedule of this paragraph. If promulgated by the Administrator, such schedule shall satisfy the requirements of this paragraph for the affected source.

(iv) The requirements of this paragraph shall not apply to the following sources for which a request for a postponement of the applicability of regulation X had been submitted pursuant to section 110(f) of the Act prior to the date of publication of this regulation:

| Source | Location |
|---|--------------|
| Kammer Station, Ohio Power Company | Moundsville. |
| Mitchell Station, Ohio Power Company | Do. |
| Harrison Station, Monongahela Power Company. | Haywood. |
| Fort Martin Station, Monongahela Power Company. | Maidsville. |

(10) Nothing in this paragraph shall preclude the Administrator from promulgating a separate schedule for any source to which the application of the compliance schedule in paragraph (b) (2), (3), (5), or (6) of this section fails to

satisfy the requirements of §§ 51.261 and 51.262(a) of this chapter.

[38 FR 16170, June 20, 1973, as amended at 38 FR 22751, Aug. 23, 1973; 38 FR 24342, Sept. 7, 1973; 39 FR 32560, Sept. 9, 1974; 40 FR 3569, Jan. 23, 1975; 51 FR 40676, 40677, Nov. 7, 1986; 54 FR 25258, June 14, 1989]

§ 52.2525 Control strategy: Sulfur dioxide.

(a) The provisions of § 51.112(a) are not met because the State did not adequately demonstrate that the deletion of section 3.03(b) of West Virginia regulation X as it applies to the Rivesville plant would not interfere with attainment and maintenance of the national ambient air quality standard.

(b) EPA approves the attainment demonstration State Implementation Plan for the City of Weirton, including the Clay and Butler Magisterial Districts area in Hancock County, West Virginia, submitted by the West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection on December 29, 2003.

[43 FR 52240, Nov. 9, 1978, as amended at 51 FR 40676, Nov. 7, 1986; 69 FR 24992, May 5, 2004]

§ 52.2526 Control strategy: Particulate matter.

EPA approves West Virginia's November 15, 1991 SIP submittal for fulfilling the PM₁₀-specific requirement of part D for contingency measures required under section 172(c)(9) of the Clean Air Act applicable to the Follansbee, West Virginia PM₁₀ non-attainment area.

[68 FR 51464, Aug. 27, 2003]

§ 52.2527 [Reserved]

§ 52.2528 Significant deterioration of air quality.

(a) The requirements of Sections 160 through 165 of the Clean Air Act are met since the plan includes approvable procedures for the Prevention of Significant Air Quality Deterioration.

(b) Regulations for Preventing Significant Deterioration of Air Quality, the provisions of § 52.21(p) (4), (5), (6), and (7) are hereby incorporated and made a part of the applicable state plan for the state of West Virginia.

[51 FR 12518, Apr. 11, 1986]